

# **Institutional Digital Repository Benchmarks, 2013 Edition**

**ISBN: 978-1-57440-238-4**

**Library of Congress Control Number: 2013938582**

**© 2013 Primary Research Group, Inc.**



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS.....   | 3   |
| LIST OF TABLES .....   | 4   |
| THE QUESTIONNAIRE.....   | 22  |
| SURVEY PARTICIPANTS.....   | 28  |
| CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE.....                               | 29  |
| SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS.....                                    | 30  |
| CHAPTER 1: Repository Dimensions .....                           | 37  |
| CHAPTER 2: Marketing the Digital Repository .....                | 49  |
| CHAPTER 3: Revenue from the Digital Repository .....             | 65  |
| CHAPTER 4: Access Data for the Digital Repository.....           | 69  |
| CHAPTER 5: Digital Repository as Publisher .....                 | 80  |
| CHAPTER 6: Budget and Staff Time for the Digital Repository..... | 87  |
| CHAPTER 7: Faculty Cooperation with the Digital Repository.....  | 96  |
| CHAPTER 8: Content in the Digital Repository .....               | 107 |

## **LIST OF TABLES**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS.....                             | 3  |
| LIST OF TABLES .....                               | 4  |
| THE QUESTIONNAIRE.....                             | 22 |
| SURVEY PARTICIPANTS.....                           | 28 |
| CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE.....                 | 29 |
| SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS.....                      | 30 |
| Repository Dimensions.....                         | 30 |
| Amount of Data .....                               | 30 |
| Contents of the Repository .....                   | 30 |
| Years in Operation .....                           | 30 |
| Marketing the Digital Repository.....              | 31 |
| Spending on Marketing.....                         | 31 |
| Marketing Vehicles and Online Presence .....       | 31 |
| Press Releases .....                               | 31 |
| Revenue from the Digital Repository.....           | 31 |
| Relationships with Other Digital Repositories..... | 31 |
| Access Data for the Digital Repository .....       | 32 |
| Unique Visitors .....                              | 32 |
| Direct Downloads .....                             | 32 |
| Source of Downloads (by Country).....              | 32 |
| Downloads from Within the Institution.....         | 33 |
| User Interface.....                                | 33 |
| Growth Rate .....                                  | 33 |
| Digital Repository as Publisher.....               | 33 |
| Budget for the Digital Repository .....            | 34 |
| Rate of Change in Spending .....                   | 34 |
| Labor and the Digital Repository .....             | 34 |
| Man Hours.....                                     | 34 |
| Sources of Labor .....                             | 35 |
| Funding.....                                       | 35 |
| Contents in the Digital Repository.....            | 35 |
| Volume of Contents.....                            | 35 |
| Access to Contents.....                            | 35 |
| Cataloging of Contents.....                        | 36 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| CHAPTER 1: Repository Dimensions .....   | 37 |
| Table 1.1 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository? .....                                      | 37 |
| Table 1.2 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository? Broken out by Public/Private .....         | 37 |
| Table 1.3 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository? Broken out by Enrollment .....             | 37 |
| Table 1.4 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository? Broken out by Type.....                    | 37 |
| Table 1.5 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository? Broken out by Country .....                | 37 |
| Table 2 Your digital repository contains which of the following: .....   | 38 |
| Table 2.1.1 Repository contains books written by faculty.....  | 38 |
| Table 2.1.2 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private                                | 38 |
| Table 2.1.3 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment.....                               | 38 |
| Table 2.1.4 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Type .....                                    | 38 |
| Table 2.1.5 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Country.....                                  | 38 |
| Table 2.2.1 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty .....  | 38 |
| Table 2.2.2 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private .....               | 38 |
| Table 2.2.3 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment .....                   | 38 |
| Table 2.2.4 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Type.                              | 38 |
| Table 2.2.5 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Country .....                      | 39 |
| Table 2.3.1 Repository contains presentations made by faculty .....  | 39 |
| Table 2.3.2 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Public/Private .....                     | 39 |
| Table 2.3.3 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Enrollment .....                         | 39 |
| Table 2.3.4 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Type.....                                | 39 |
| Table 2.3.5 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Country .                                | 39 |
| Table 2.4.1 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty .....                              | 39 |
| Table 2.4.2 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 39 |
| Table 2.4.3 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 39 |
| Table 2.4.4 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Type.....            | 40 |
| Table 2.4.5 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Country.....         | 40 |
| Table 2.5.1 Repository contains digital images.....  | 40 |
| Table 2.5.2 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Public/Private .....                                    | 40 |
| Table 2.5.3 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 40 |
| Table 2.5.4 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Type.....   | 40 |
| Table 2.5.5 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Country.....  | 40 |
| Table 2.6.1 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses.....   | 40 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 2.6.2 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Public/Private .....                       | 40 |
| Table 2.6.3 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Enrollment                                 | 41 |
| Table 2.6.4 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Type .....                                 | 41 |
| Table 2.6.5 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Country.....                               | 41 |
| Table 2.7.1 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework.....  | 41 |
| Table 2.7.2 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Public/Private .....                  | 41 |
| Table 2.7.3 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Enrollment .....                      | 41 |
| Table 2.7.4 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Type.                                 | 41 |
| Table 2.7.5 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Country .....                         | 41 |
| Table 2.8.1 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty .....   | 41 |
| Table 2.8.2 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private .....                      | 42 |
| Table 2.8.3 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment .....                          | 42 |
| Table 2.8.4 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Type .....                                | 42 |
| Table 2.8.5 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Country.....                              | 42 |
| Table 2.9.1 Repository contains university records .....   | 42 |
| Table 2.9.2 Repository contains university records Broken out by Public/Private.....                                 | 42 |
| Table 2.9.3 Repository contains university records Broken out by Enrollment.....                                     | 42 |
| Table 2.9.4 Repository contains university records Broken out by Type .....  | 42 |
| Table 2.9.5 Repository contains university records Broken out by Country .....                                       | 42 |
| Table 2.10.1 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents .....                                  | 43 |
| Table 2.10.2 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Public/Private.....      | 43 |
| Table 2.10.3 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Enrollment.....          | 43 |
| Table 2.10.4 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Type .....               | 43 |
| Table 2.10.5 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Country.....             | 43 |
| Table 2.11.1 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine .....                              | 43 |
| Table 2.11.2 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 43 |
| Table 2.11.3 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 43 |
| Table 2.11.4 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Type.....            | 44 |
| Table 2.11.5 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Country.....         | 44 |
| Table 2.12.1 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university .....                                   | 44 |
| Table 2.12.2 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Public/Private .....      | 44 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 2.12.3 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 44 |
| Table 2.12.4 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Type .....  | 44 |
| Table 2.12.5 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Country.....  | 44 |
| Table 2.13.1 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars .....   | 44 |
| Table 2.13.2 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars Broken out by Public/Private .....                                  | 45 |
| Table 2.13.3 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars Broken out by Enrollment.....                                       | 45 |
| Table 2.13.4 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars Broken out by Type.....   | 45 |
| Table 2.13.5 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars Broken out by Country.....  | 45 |
| Table 2.14.1 Repository contains audio files.....  | 45 |
| Table 2.14.2 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Public/Private.....   | 45 |
| Table 2.14.3 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 45 |
| Table 2.14.4 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Type .....  | 45 |
| Table 2.14.5 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Country.....  | 46 |
| Table 2.15.1 Repository contains video files.....  | 46 |
| Table 2.15.2 Repository contains video files Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 46 |
| Table 2.15.3 Repository contains video files Broken out by Enrollment .....  | 46 |
| Table 2.15.4 Repository contains video files Broken out by Type.....   | 46 |
| Table 2.15.5 Repository contains video files Broken out by Country .....   | 46 |
| Your digital repository contains which of the following (specified other): .....   | 47 |
| Table 3.1 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation? .....  | 48 |
| Table 3.2 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 48 |
| Table 3.3 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 48 |
| Table 3.4 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation? Broken out by Type.....  | 48 |
| Table 3.5 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation? Broken out by Country .....  | 48 |
| CHAPTER 2: Marketing the Digital Repository .....  | 49 |
| Table 4.1 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users (in US dollars)?.....               | 49 |
| Table 4.2 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 49 |
| Table 4.3 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 49 |
| Table 4.4 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Type.....            | 49 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 4.5 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Country ..... | 49 |
| Table 5 Does your institutional repository have any of the following: .....   | 50 |
| Table 5.1.1 Repository has a link to the college library website? .....   | 50 |
| Table 5.1.2 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 50 |
| Table 5.1.3 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 50 |
| Table 5.1.4 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Type .....   | 50 |
| Table 5.1.5 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Country..  | 50 |
| Table 5.2.1 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library? .....  | 50 |
| Table 5.2.2 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Public/Private .....                      | 50 |
| Table 5.2.3 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Enrollment.....                           | 50 |
| Table 5.2.4 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Type.....                                 | 51 |
| Table 5.2.5 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Country.....                              | 51 |
| Table 5.3.1 Repository has a presence on Instagram?.....  | 51 |
| Table 5.3.2 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 51 |
| Table 5.3.3 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 51 |
| Table 5.3.4 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Type.....  | 51 |
| Table 5.3.5 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Country .....  | 51 |
| Table 5.4.1 Repository has a presence on Pinterest.....   | 51 |
| Table 5.5.1 Repository has a presence on Flickr .....   | 51 |
| Table 5.5.2 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Public/Private.....   | 52 |
| Table 5.5.3 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 52 |
| Table 5.5.4 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Type .....  | 52 |
| Table 5.5.5 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Country.....  | 52 |
| Table 5.6.1 Repository has a presence on YouTube? .....   | 52 |
| Table 5.6.2 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 52 |
| Table 5.6.3 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 52 |
| Table 5.6.4 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Type .....   | 52 |
| Table 5.6.5 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Country.....   | 52 |
| Table 5.7.1 Repository has its own blog?.....   | 53 |
| Table 5.7.2 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Public/Private.....   | 53 |
| Table 5.7.3 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 53 |
| Table 5.7.4 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Type.....   | 53 |
| Table 5.7.5 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Country .....   | 53 |
| Table 5.8.1 Repository has a brochure.....  | 53 |
| Table 5.8.2 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 53 |
| Table 5.8.3 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Enrollment .....  | 53 |
| Table 5.8.4 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Type.....   | 53 |
| Table 5.8.5 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Country .....   | 53 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 5.9.1 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast?.....  | 54 |
| Table 5.9.2 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 54 |
| Table 5.9.3 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 54 |
| Table 5.9.4 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Type.....  | 54 |
| Table 5.9.5 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Country.....   | 54 |
| Table 5.10.1 Repository has a published an annual report?.....   | 54 |
| Table 5.10.2 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 54 |
| Table 5.10.3 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 54 |
| Table 5.10.4 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Type .....   | 54 |
| Table 5.10.5 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Country .....  | 55 |
| Table 6.1 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year?.....   | 56 |
| Table 6.2 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 56 |
| Table 6.3 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 56 |
| Table 6.4 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Type.....  | 56 |
| Table 6.5 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Country .....  | 56 |
| Describe how you market the repository both to internal and external users. Have you used search engine optimization techniques? What about your site linking strategy. Your press release efforts? Outreach at conferences? Etc. .... | 57 |
| Table 7 Does your repository have any of the following:.....   | 59 |
| Table 7.1.1 There is a Twitter feed for the repository?.....   | 59 |
| Table 7.1.2 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 59 |
| Table 7.1.3 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Enrollment .....  | 59 |
| Table 7.1.4 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Type.....   | 59 |
| Table 7.1.5 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Country .....   | 59 |
| Table 7.2.1 There is a Facebook site for the repository? .....   | 59 |
| Table 7.2.2 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 59 |
| Table 7.2.3 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 59 |
| Table 7.2.4 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Type.....  | 59 |
| Table 7.2.5 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Country .....  | 60 |
| Table 7.3.1 There is a LinkedIn Site for the repository? .....   | 60 |
| Has the library done any research on the impact of the digital repository on the citation rate of journal articles in the repository? If so what has it discovered? .....  | 61 |
| In general what has been the impact of the digital repository on your institution's overall online presence?.....  | 62 |
| What information resources about digital repositories -- blogs, listservs, websites, newsletters, ezines, magazines, conference and other resources -- are most valuable to you? .....   | 64 |
| CHAPTER 3: Revenue from the Digital Repository .....   | 65 |
| Table 8.1 Does the repository charge a fee at any time for any articles or downloads, including charges aimed only at cost recovery? .....   | 65 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 9.1 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? .....  | 65 |
| Table 9.2 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 65 |
| Table 9.3 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 65 |
| Table 9.4 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Type.....  | 65 |
| Table 9.5 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Country .....  | 66 |
| Table 10.1 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? .....                             | 66 |
| Table 10.2 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Public/Private..... | 66 |
| Table 10.3 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Enrollment.....     | 66 |
| Table 10.4 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Type.....           | 66 |
| Table 10.5 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Country .....       | 67 |
| Table 10.6 Has the repository concluded any agreements over the past three years to license its content to others?.....  | 67 |
| Table 11.1 What best describes how your digital depository is funded?.....   | 67 |
| Table 11.2 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 67 |
| Table 11.3 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 67 |
| Table 11.4 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Type .....   | 67 |
| Table 11.5 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Country .....  | 68 |
| CHAPTER 4: Access Data for the Digital Repository.....   | 69 |
| Table 12.1 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? .....  | 69 |
| Table 12.2 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 69 |
| Table 12.3 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 69 |
| Table 12.4 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Type.....  | 69 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 12.5 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Country .....  | 69 |
| Table 13.1 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year?.....                               | 70 |
| Table 13.2 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 70 |
| Table 13.3 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 70 |
| Table 13.4 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Type.....            | 70 |
| Table 13.5 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Country.....         | 70 |
| Table 14 What percentage of downloads from the repository come from the following sources:.....  | 71 |
| Table 14.1.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States .....  | 71 |
| Table 14.1.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 71 |
| Table 14.1.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 71 |
| Table 14.1.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Type .....   | 71 |
| Table 14.1.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Country.....   | 71 |
| Table 14.2.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada.....   | 71 |
| Table 14.2.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 71 |
| Table 14.2.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 72 |
| Table 14.2.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Type .....   | 72 |
| Table 14.2.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Country.....   | 72 |
| Table 14.3.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries .....   | 72 |
| Table 14.3.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Public/Private.....   | 72 |
| Table 14.3.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 72 |
| Table 14.3.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Type .....  | 72 |
| Table 14.3.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Country.....  | 73 |
| Table 14.4.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution.....  | 73 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 14.4.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 73 |
| Table 14.4.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Enrollment .....  | 73 |
| Table 14.4.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Type.....   | 73 |
| Table 14.4.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Country .....   | 73 |
| Table 14.5.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution.....   | 73 |
| Table 14.5.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 74 |
| Table 14.5.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 74 |
| Table 14.5.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Type.....  | 74 |
| Table 14.5.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Country .....  | 74 |
| Comment on trends in the downloading of materials from your repository. Are downloads increasing in a particular subject area? For a particular part of the world or from certain types of institutions? .....                       | 75 |
| Table 15.1 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads?.....   | 76 |
| Table 15.2 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 76 |
| Table 15.3 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 76 |
| Table 15.4 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Type.....  | 76 |
| Table 15.5 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Country..  | 76 |
| Table 16.1 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? (ie - downloads, pages viewed, site visits, etc)..... | 77 |
| Table 16.2 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Public/Private.....                     | 77 |
| Table 16.3 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Enrollment.....                         | 77 |
| Table 16.4 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Type .....                              | 77 |
| Table 16.5 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Country.....                            | 77 |
| Describe your philosophy on how you compile, distribute and publicize your repository download statistics? How detailed is your data? How great an effort do you make to get   |    |

them out to authors? To end users? How do they promote the interests of your institution? .....78

CHAPTER 5: Digital Repository as Publisher .....80

Table 17.1 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? .....80

Table 17.2 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Public/Private.....80

Table 17.3 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Enrollment.....80

Table 17.4 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Type .....80

Table 17.5 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Country .....80

Table 18.1 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? .....81

Table 18.2 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Public/Private.....81

Table 18.3 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Enrollment.....81

Table 18.4 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Type .....81

Table 18.5 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Country.....81

Table 19.1 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions? .....81

Table 19.2 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions? Broken out by Public/Private .....82

Table 19.3 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions? Broken out by Enrollment .....82

Table 19.4 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions? Broken out by Type .....82

Table 19.5 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions? Broken out by Country .....82

Table 20.1 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere?.....82

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 20.2 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Public/Private .....                   | 83 |
| Table 20.3 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Enrollment .....                       | 83 |
| Table 20.4 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Type.....                              | 83 |
| Table 20.5 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Country .....                          | 83 |
| Table 21.1 Does the repository publish any open access journals? .....  | 83 |
| Table 21.2 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 83 |
| Table 21.3 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 84 |
| Table 21.4 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Type.....  | 84 |
| Table 21.5 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Country  | 84 |
| Describe the repository's attitude towards becoming an actual publisher. Does it plan to publish its own open access journals? Has it taken measures to organize peer review councils or editorial staff or other measures associated with academic publishing? ..... | 85 |
| CHAPTER 6: Budget and Staff Time for the Digital Repository .....   | 87 |
| Table 22.1 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing (in US dollars)? .....  | 87 |
| Table 22.2 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 87 |
| Table 22.3 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 87 |
| Table 22.4 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Type.....  | 87 |
| Table 22.5 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Country .....  | 87 |
| Table 23 What was the rate of change in spending on the digital repository in the following years:.....   | 88 |
| Table 23.1.1 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13.....   | 88 |
| Table 23.1.2 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 88 |
| Table 23.1.3 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 88 |
| Table 23.1.4 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Type .....   | 88 |
| Table 23.1.5 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Country.....   | 88 |
| Table 23.2.1 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated).....   | 88 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 23.2.2 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14<br>(anticipated) Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 88 |
| Table 23.2.3 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14<br>(anticipated) Broken out by Enrollment .....   | 89 |
| Table 23.2.4 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14<br>(anticipated) Broken out by Type.....  | 89 |
| Table 23.2.5 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14<br>(anticipated) Broken out by Country .....  | 89 |
| Table 24.1 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian<br>technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? (One full time<br>person would be about 1800 man hours per year) ..... | 90 |
| Table 24.2 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian<br>technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by<br>Public/Private .....                                  | 90 |
| Table 24.3 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian<br>technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by<br>Enrollment .....                                      | 90 |
| Table 24.4 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian<br>technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by<br>Type.....   | 90 |
| Table 24.5 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian<br>technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by<br>Country .....   | 90 |
| Table 25 What percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>come from the following sources:.....  | 91 |
| Table 25.1.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from the Library .....   | 91 |
| Table 25.1.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from the Library Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 91 |
| Table 25.1.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from the Library Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 91 |
| Table 25.1.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from the Library Broken out by Type .....  | 91 |
| Table 25.1.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from the Library Broken out by Country.....  | 91 |
| Table 25.2.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from Academic Departments.....   | 91 |
| Table 25.2.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 91 |
| Table 25.2.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 92 |
| Table 25.2.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Type .....   | 92 |
| Table 25.2.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository<br>coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Country.....   | 92 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 25.3.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments .....  | 92 |
| Table 25.3.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Public/Private .....   | 92 |
| Table 25.3.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 92 |
| Table 25.3.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Type .....   | 93 |
| Table 25.3.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Country.....   | 93 |
| Table 25.4.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities.....  | 93 |
| Table 25.4.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 93 |
| Table 25.4.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 93 |
| Table 25.4.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Type.....   | 93 |
| Table 25.4.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Country.....  | 93 |
| Briefly comment on your plans for staffing the repository. Will you increase staff? Hold it constant? Can you get more productivity by bringing in experts from other departments to pay specific roles? Use outside consultants? Or better software to other tools to make it easier for faculty and staff to use the repository without staff assistance? ..... | 94 |
| CHAPTER 7: Faculty Cooperation with the Digital Repository.....   | 96 |
| Table 26.1 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? .....  | 96 |
| Table 26.2 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Public/Private.....  | 96 |
| Table 26.3 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 96 |
| Table 26.4 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Type.....  | 96 |
| Table 26.5 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Country .....  | 96 |
| Describe how you have gone about trying to assure faculty participation in the digital repository program. What methods have you used? Can you advise your peers on best practices in gaining faculty awareness and acceptance?.....  | 97 |
| Table 27 Please rank the following academic departments or group of departments as contributors to the digital repository:.....   | 99 |
| Table 27.1.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments.....   | 99 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Table 27.1.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 99  |
| Table 27.1.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Enrollment .....     | 99  |
| Table 27.1.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Type .....           | 99  |
| Table 27.1.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Country .....        | 99  |
| Table 27.2.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department .....  | 99  |
| Table 27.2.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department Broken out by Public/Private.....                        | 100 |
| Table 27.2.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department Broken out by Enrollment.....                            | 100 |
| Table 27.2.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department Broken out by Type .....                                 | 100 |
| Table 27.2.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department Broken out by Country.....                               | 100 |
| Table 27.3.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department .....  | 100 |
| Table 27.3.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department Broken out by Public/Private .....                         | 100 |
| Table 27.3.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department Broken out by Enrollment.....                              | 101 |
| Table 27.3.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department Broken out by Type.....                                    | 101 |
| Table 27.3.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department Broken out by Country.....                                 | 101 |
| Table 27.4.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department .....   | 101 |
| Table 27.4.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department Broken out by Public/Private.....                       | 101 |
| Table 27.4.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department Broken out by Enrollment.....                           | 101 |
| Table 27.4.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department Broken out by Type .....                                | 102 |
| Table 27.4.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department Broken out by Country.....                              | 102 |
| Table 27.5.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments .....                                 | 102 |
| Table 27.5.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Public/Private.....     | 102 |
| Table 27.5.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Enrollment.....         | 102 |
| Table 27.5.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Type .....              | 102 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 27.5.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Country.....                         | 103 |
| Table 27.6.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments .....   | 103 |
| Table 27.6.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Public/Private.....                       | 103 |
| Table 27.6.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Enrollment.....                           | 103 |
| Table 27.6.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Type .....                                | 103 |
| Table 27.6.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Country .....                             | 103 |
| Table 27.7.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments .....                             | 103 |
| Table 27.7.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Public/Private..... | 104 |
| Table 27.7.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Enrollment.....     | 104 |
| Table 27.7.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Type.....           | 104 |
| Table 27.7.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Country .....       | 104 |
| Table 27.8.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department .....  | 104 |
| Table 27.8.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Public/Private.....                  | 104 |
| Table 27.8.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Enrollment.....                      | 104 |
| Table 27.8.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Type .....                           | 105 |
| Table 27.8.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Country.....                         | 105 |
| Table 27.9.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department.  | 105 |
| Table 27.9.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Public/Private .....  | 105 |
| Table 27.9.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 105 |
| Table 27.9.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Type.....   | 105 |
| Table 27.9.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Country.....  | 106 |
| CHAPTER 8: Content in the Digital Repository .....   | 107 |
| Table 28 How many of the following individual items does the library have in its digital repository:.....  | 107 |
| Table 28.1.1 Number of Books in the digital depository .....   | 107 |
| Table 28.1.2 Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private.   | 107 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Table 28.1.3 Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 107 |
| Table 28.1.4 Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Type .....   | 107 |
| Table 28.1.5 Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Country .....  | 107 |
| Table 28.2.1 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository .....   | 107 |
| Table 28.2.2 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by<br>Public/Private .....   | 107 |
| Table 28.2.3 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by<br>Enrollment .....   | 107 |
| Table 28.2.4 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Type..  | 108 |
| Table 28.2.5 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Country<br>.....  | 108 |
| Table 28.3.1 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines,<br>newspapers, etc in the digital depository .....   | 108 |
| Table 28.3.2 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines,<br>newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private.....             | 108 |
| Table 28.3.3 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines,<br>newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment.....                 | 108 |
| Table 28.3.4 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines,<br>newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Type .....                      | 108 |
| Table 28.3.5 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines,<br>newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Country .....                   | 108 |
| Table 28.4.1 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository .....  | 108 |
| Table 28.4.2 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken<br>out by Public/Private .....  | 109 |
| Table 28.4.3 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken<br>out by Enrollment.....   | 109 |
| Table 28.4.4 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken<br>out by Type .....  | 109 |
| Table 28.4.5 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken<br>out by Country.....  | 109 |
| Table 28.5.1 Number of Videos in the digital depository .....   | 109 |
| Table 28.5.2 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private  | 109 |
| Table 28.5.3 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment .....  | 109 |
| Table 28.5.4 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Type.....   | 109 |
| Table 28.5.5 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Country .....   | 110 |
| Table 29 What percentage of the books in the institution's digital depository can be<br>described as:.....  | 110 |
| Table 29.1.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full<br>open access prior to or upon publication .....                              | 110 |
| Table 29.1.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full<br>open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 110 |
| Table 29.1.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full<br>open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 110 |
| Table 29.1.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full<br>open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Type .....           | 110 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 29.1.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Country.....                         | 110 |
| Table 29.2.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year .....                              | 110 |
| Table 29.2.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Public/Private       | 111 |
| Table 29.2.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Enrollment.....      | 111 |
| Table 29.2.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Type .....           | 111 |
| Table 29.2.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Country.....         | 111 |
| Table 29.3.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year .....                              | 111 |
| Table 29.3.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 111 |
| Table 29.3.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Enrollment ...       | 111 |
| Table 29.3.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Type.....            | 112 |
| Table 29.3.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Country .....        | 112 |
| Table 30 What percentage of the journal articles in the digital depository can be described as:.....   | 112 |
| Table 30.1.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access .....  | 112 |
| Table 30.1.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Public/Private.....                                    | 112 |
| Table 30.1.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Enrollment.....  | 112 |
| Table 30.1.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Type .....   | 112 |
| Table 30.1.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Country.....   | 112 |
| Table 30.2.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit.....   | 113 |
| Table 30.2.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Public/Private .....             | 113 |
| Table 30.2.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Enrollment .....                 | 113 |
| Table 30.2.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Type.....                        | 113 |
| Table 30.2.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Country .....                    | 113 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 30.3.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions.....                               | 113 |
| Table 30.3.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Public/Private ..... | 113 |
| Table 30.3.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Enrollment .....     | 114 |
| Table 30.3.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Type .....           | 114 |
| Table 30.3.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Country .....        | 114 |
| Table 31.1 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library:.....  | 115 |
| Table 31.2 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's repository is cataloged by your institution's library Broken out by Public/Private.....                                      | 115 |
| Table 31.3 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Enrollment.....   | 115 |
| Table 31.4 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Type.....                                       | 115 |
| Table 31.5 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Country .....                                   | 116 |

## **THE QUESTIONNAIRE**

1. Your institution is:
  - a. A public college
  - b. A private college
  - c. Other (non-higher education institution)
  
2. If your institution is a college, what is its total full-time equivalent enrollment for all programs?
  
3. Choose the term that best describes your institution:
  - a. Community College
  - b. Primarily a BA or MA-granting institution
  - c. A Carnegie Class PhD-granting institution
  - d. A level 1 or level 2 Carnegie Class research university
  - e. Non-higher education institution (i.e., government agency, corporation, international organization, think tank, etc.)
  
4. How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?
  
5. Your digital repository contains which of the following: (check all that apply)
  - a. Books written by faculty
  - b. Journal articles written by faculty
  - c. Presentations made by faculty
  - d. Magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty
  - e. Digital images
  - f. Dissertations and/or theses
  - g. Lectures, courses, and coursework
  - h. Textbooks written by faculty
  - i. University records
  - j. Images of rare books or historical documents
  - k. College publications such as the alumni magazine
  - l. Patents issued to faculty or the university
  - m. Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars
  - n. Audio files
  - o. Video files
  
6. How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?
  
7. How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users?

8. Does your institutional repository have any of the following: (check all that apply)
  - a. A link to the college library website
  - b. A link to the college website apart from any link to the library
  - c. A presence on Instagram
  - d. A presence on Pinterest
  - e. A presence on Flickr
  - f. A presence on YouTube
  - g. Its own blog
  - h. A brochure
  - i. A regular webcast or podcast
  - j. A published annual report
9. How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year?
10. Describe how you market the repository both to internal and external users. Have you used search engine optimization techniques? What about your site-linking strategy? Your press release efforts? Outreach at conferences?
11. Does your repository have any of the following:
  - a. A Twitter feed for the repository
  - b. A Facebook site for the repository
  - c. A LinkedIn site for the repository
12. Does the repository charge a fee at any time for any articles or downloads, including charges aimed only at cost recovery?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
  - c. No, but we are thinking of instituting this
13. Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development, or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository?
14. Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own?
15. Has the repository concluded any agreements over the past three years to license its content to others?
16. If so, please describe the nature of these agreements and how you expect licensing to develop in the future.
17. Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year?

18. If the repository allows direct downloads, approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year?
19. What percentage of downloads from the repository come from the following sources?
  - a. From within the United States
  - b. From Canada
  - c. From other foreign countries
20. What percentage of downloads from the repository come from the following sources?
  - a. From within your institution
  - b. From outside your institution
21. Comment on trends in the downloading of materials from your repository. Are downloads increasing in a particular subject area? For a particular part of the world or from certain types of institutions?
22. Do authors have a user interface to track downloads?
23. In the past year, what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning (i.e., downloads, pages viewed, site visits, etc.)?
24. Describe your philosophy on how you compile, distribute and publicize your repository download statistics. How detailed is your data? How great an effort do you make to get them out to authors? To end users? How do they promote the interests of your institution?
25. Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
  - c. No, but we are planning something along these lines
26. Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
  - c. No, but we are considering this
27. Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?
  - a. Yes
  - b. No

- c. No, but we are thinking of doing this
28. Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere?
  29. Does the repository publish any open access journals?
  30. Describe the repository's attitude toward becoming an actual publisher. Does it plan to publish its own access journals? Has it taken measures to organize peer review councils or editorial staff or other measures associated with academic publishing?
  31. How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Do not include money spent to start up the repository except those expenditures that the library logically expects to spend every year to run the repository. Include spending for relevant license, conferences, publications, telecommunications, marketing, appeals to faculty, etc. Include personnel costs only for positions that are largely dedicated to the repository.
  32. What was the rate of change in spending on the digital repository in the following years:
    - a. In 2012-2013?
    - b. In 2013-2014 (anticipated)?
  33. Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? (One full-time person would be about 1,800 man hours per year.)
  34. What percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository come from the following sources:
    - a. From the library?
    - b. From academic departments?
    - c. From information technology oriented academic departments?
    - d. From other departments or entities?
  35. Briefly comment on your plans for staffing the repository. Will you increase staff? Hold it constant? Can you get more productivity by bringing in experts from other departments to play specific roles? Use outside consultants? Or better software tools to make it easier for faculty and staff to use the repository without staff assistance?
  36. Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository?

37. Describe how you have gone about trying to assure faculty participation in the digital repository program. What methods have you used? Can you advise your peers on best practices in gaining faculty awareness and acceptance?
38. Please rank the following academic department or group of academic departments as contributors to the digital repository:
- a. Medical and biological sciences
  - b. Chemistry
  - c. Physics
  - d. Literature
  - e. Art, architecture, and music
  - f. Economics and business
  - g. Psychology, sociology, and political science
  - h. Anthropology and archaeology
  - i. Law
39. Has the library done any research on the impact of the digital repository on the citation rate of journal articles in the repository? If so, what has it discovered?
40. In general, what has been the impact of the digital repository on your institution's overall online presence?
41. How many of the following individual items does the library have in its digital repository:
- a. Books
  - b. Journal articles
  - c. Articles from non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc.
  - d. Photographs or digital images
  - e. Videos
42. What percentage of books in the institution's digital repository can be described as:
- a. Full open access prior to or upon publication
  - b. Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year
  - c. Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year
43. What percentage of the journal articles in the digital repository can be described as:
- a. Full open access
  - b. Access restricted to a page view limit
  - c. Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions
44. What best describes how the digital repository is funded?
- a. Largely through grants
  - b. Largely from the main library budget
  - c. Both grants and library budget disbursements

45. Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library:
- a. It's standalone and primarily not cataloged
  - b. Only work published elsewhere are cataloged
  - c. A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged
  - d. Most of it is already cataloged
46. What information resources about digital repositories – blogs, listservs, websites, newsletters, ezines, magazines, conferences, and other resources – are most valuable to you?

## **SURVEY PARTICIPANTS**

Ashesi University Library  
Boston University  
Brock University  
Cedarville University  
Colby College  
The College at Brockport  
College of William and Mary  
Connecticut College  
Eastern Michigan University  
Ferris State University  
Forschungszentrum Jülich  
Griffith University  
Kaiser Permanente  
Kalamazoo College  
La Trobe University  
Mount St. Mary's College  
Oregon Institute of Technology  
Pacific University  
Rutgers University  
Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University  
Texas State University-San Marcos  
Universidad de Navarra, Spain  
University of Chichester  
University of Missouri  
University of Piraeus  
University of South Florida  
University of Tasmania  
University of Texas at Austin  
University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia  
University of the West of England  
University of Wyoming  
Virginia Tech  
Wichita State University

## **CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE**

Overall sample size: 33

### **By Public or Private**

- Public College: 22
- Private College: 9
- Other (non-higher education institution): 2

### **By Enrollment<sup>1</sup>**

- 5,000 or less: 9
- 5,001-25,000: 11
- More than 25,000: 11

### **By Primary Type of Institution**

- BA/MA-granting: 18
- PhD-granting: 3
- Research university: 10
- Non-higher education institution (i.e., agencies, think tanks, corporations): 2

### **By Country**

- USA: 21
- Other: 12

---

<sup>1</sup> Does not include the two non-higher education institutions.

## **SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS**

### **Repository Dimensions**

#### **Amount of Data**

Across the entire sample, the mean total terabytes of data housed in the digital repository is 1.10, with a median of 0.75. The minimum here is 0.02, and the maximum is 8.00. When broken out by public versus private status, repositories in public schools have a higher mean and median total terabytes of data (mean of 1.33; median of 1.00) than repositories in private schools (mean of 0.53; median of 0.63). The higher mean can partly be attributed to an outlier public institution with 8.00 total terabytes of data in their digital repository, compared to the maximum of 0.75 for private institutions. When broken out by enrollment, the median for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less and the median for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students are both 0.50, with the former having a mean of 0.51 and the latter a mean of 0.52. For schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students, the mean (2.28) and median (2.00) both increase significantly compared to the other two enrollment breakout groups. When broken out by country, institutions within the United States have a mean of 1.26 total terabytes of data and a median of 0.75, compared to a mean of 0.58 and a median of 0.50 for institutions from countries outside the United States.

#### **Contents of the Repository**

Across the entire sample, 87.88% of repositories contain journal articles written by faculty, 87.88% contain dissertations and/or theses, 72.73% contain presentations made by faculty, 60.61% contain books written by faculty, 54.55% contain digital images, 54.55% contain college publications such as the alumni magazine, 54.55% contain video files, 51.25% contain images of rare books or historical documents, 48.48% contain audio files, 36.36% contain magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty, 30.30% contain university records, 21.21% contain notebooks or scholarly papers of noted college scholars, 18.18% contain lectures or coursework, 15.15% contain textbooks written by faculty, and 9.09% contain patents issued to the faculty or university.

#### **Years in Operation**

Across the entire sample, the mean number of years the digital repository has been in operation is 4.60, with a median of 5.00. The minimum here is 0.50, and the maximum is 9.00. The mean and median for public schools (mean of 5.04; median of 5.00) are both slightly higher than those for private schools (mean of 4.17; median of 4.00). Additionally, the mean and median for institution outside of the United States (mean of 5.36; median of 6.00) are higher than those for institutions within the United States (mean of 4.20; median of 4.50).

## **Marketing the Digital Repository**

### **Spending on Marketing**

Across the entire sample, the mean amount spent in the past year (in US dollars) on marketing the digital depository to potential users was \$3,707.41. However, the median here is \$0.00, indicating that most institutions do not spend money to market their digital repositories. The relatively high mean (when compared to the median) can partly be attributed to an outlier institution that spent \$70,000, while the second and third highest expenditures were \$17,000 and \$8,000, a considerable drop.

### **Marketing Vehicles and Online Presence**

Across the entire sample, 75.76% of digital repositories have a link to the college library website, 57.58% have a link to the college website apart from any link to the library, 27.27% have a brochure, 21.21% have a published annual report, 12.12% have a presence on YouTube, 12.12% have their own blog, 9.09% have a Twitter feed, 9.09% have a Facebook site, 3.03% have a presence on Instagram, 3.03% have a regular webcast or podcast, 3.03% have a presence on Flickr, 0.00% have a LinkedIn site, and 0.00% have a presence on Pinterest.

### **Press Releases**

Across the entire sample, the mean number of press releases about or from the repository sent out by the library in the past year is 1.34, with a median of 0.50. The minimum here is 0.00, and the maximum is 12.00. The mean and median for public schools (mean of 1.71; median of 1.00) are higher than those for private schools (mean of 0.56; median of 0.00). When broken out by enrollment, the mean rises as the size of enrollment increases. The mean is 0.63 for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less, 1.18 for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students, and 2.09 for schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students. Institutions in the United States have a higher mean here (1.67) than institution in other countries (0.73).

## **Revenue from the Digital Repository**

None (0.00%) of the institutions sampled charge a fee at any time for any articles or downloads, including charges aimed only at the cost of recovery. Only 3.03% of repositories sampled have garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library of institutions other than their own. None (0.00%) of the repositories sampled concluded any agreements over the past three years to license their contents to others.

## **Relationships with Other Digital Repositories**

Across the entire sample, 24.24% of repositories have formed reciprocal access, development, or marketing arrangements with other digital repositories. More public schools have formed such an arrangement than private schools (27.27% vs. 11.11%). 11.11% of schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less have formed such an arrangement, rising to 18.18% for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students, and rising further to 36.36% for schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000

students. 50.00% of institutions outside the United States have formed such an arrangement, compared to only 9.52% of institutions within the United States.

### **Access Data for the Digital Repository**

#### **Unique Visitors**

Across the entire sample, the mean number of unique visitors received by the digital repository website in the past year is 74,586.09, with a median of 60,000.00. The minimum here is 17.00, and the maximum is 406,457.00. The mean and median for public schools (mean of 87,132.64; median of 62,500.00) are both considerably higher than the mean and median for private schools (mean of 24,319.69; median of 13,063.50). When broken out by enrollment, schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students show a mean of 139,578.00 and a median of 116,432.00. Both of these numbers are much higher than those of the other enrollment subgroups. The mean is 17,227.96 and the median is 5,945.00 for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students; for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less, the mean is 24,286.00 and the median is 13,063.50. When broken out by country, the mean and median for institutions outside of the United States (mean of 93,991.86; median of 69,079.00) are both higher than those for institutions within the United States (mean of 57,120.90; median of 42,863.00).

#### **Direct Downloads**

Across the entire sample, the mean number of direct downloads of articles or other materials in the past year from the repository is 405,707.70, with a median of 158,332.00. The minimum here is 30.00, and the maximum is 2,889,348.00. The mean and median number of direct downloads for private schools (mean of 602,107.29; median of 264,403.00) are both about double the mean and median for public schools (mean of 335,768.40; median of 127,113.00). When broken out by enrollment, schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 student have the highest mean (511,239.67), but the lowest median (9,000.00). The high mean can partly be attributed to an outlier institution which raises the maximum for such schools to 2,889,348.00. Schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less show a mean of 189,738.83 and a median of 161,201.50; schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 student shows a mean of 504,540.60 and a median of 293,500.00. When broken out by country, schools within the United States show a mean of 465,138.62, higher than the mean of 328,447.50 for schools outside of the United States. However, the gap is much wider between the medians of the two groups (median of 307,000.00 for US schools; median of 9,444.50 for non-US schools).

#### **Source of Downloads (by Country)**

Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States is 54.14%, while the median is 64.00%. Downloads from within the United States make up a higher percentage of overall downloads for private schools (mean of 73.00%) than for public schools (mean of 44.54%). Smaller schools have a higher percentage of their downloads coming from within the United States: 81.00% for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less; 55.11% for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students; 32.31% for school with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students. Predictably, schools outside the United States show a much

lower mean and median in this area (mean of 10.15%; median of 7.50%) than do schools within the United States (mean of 81.22%; median of 90.00%). Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada is 6.01%, and the median is 2.00%. Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of downloads coming from other foreign countries (not the US or Canada) is 39.84%, with a median of 31.00%.

### **Downloads from Within the Institution**

Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the repository's own institution is 44.78%, with a median of 27.50%. The minimum here is 0.44%, and the maximum is 100.00%. Public schools show a higher mean (47.73%) and median (44.00%) in this area than do private schools (mean of 33.29%; median of 13.16%). There does not seem to be a significant correlation between the size of enrollment and the percentage of downloads from within the school. The mean here is 39.86% for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less, 50.86% for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students, and 35.76% for schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students. When broken out by type, research universities have a higher mean (56.81%) than either BA/MA-granting schools (38.41%) or PhD-granting schools (34.60%), while non-higher education institutions show the highest mean in this area (67.50%). When broken out by country, the mean and median here for institutions within the United States (mean of 55.26%; median of 72.00%) are both higher than the mean and median for institutions outside of the United States (mean of 31.32%; median of 5.00%).

### **User Interface**

Across the entire sample, 45.45% of repositories have a user interface for authors to track downloads. Private schools are more likely to have such a user interface than public schools (55.56% vs. 45.45%). Institutions outside the United States are more likely to have such a user interface than institutions within the United States (58.33% vs. 38.10%).

### **Growth Rate**

Across the entire sample, the mean growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository in the past year was 56.47%, with a median of 30.00%. The minimum here is 5.00%, and the maximum is 300.00%. When broken out by public or private, public schools show a higher mean growth rate (43.44%) but a lower median (29.00%) than private schools (mean of 31.50%; median of 32.50%). Institutions within the United States show a higher growth rate (mean of 69.11%; median of 50.00%) than do institutions outside of the United States (mean 37.50%; median of 20.00%).

### **Digital Repository as Publisher**

Across the entire sample, when asked if their digital repository has taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, 12.12% of respondents said yes, 72.73% said no, and 15.15% said "no, but we are planning something along these lines." 0.00% of private schools answered yes, compared to 18.18% of public schools. 0.00% of schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less answered yes, compared to 27.27% of schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students. Across the entire sample, when asked if the

repository, alone or in concert with others, has established any form of peer review network, 3.03% of respondents said yes, 81.82% said no, and 15.15% said “no, but we are considering this.” Across the entire sample, when asked if the repository sends out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions, 3.03% of respondents said yes, 93.94% said no, and 3.03% said “no, but we are thinking of doing this.” Across the entire sample, when asked if the repository has an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere, 21.21% of respondents said yes and 78.79% said no. Additionally, 27.27% of repositories sampled publish open access journals.

### **Budget for the Digital Repository**

Across the entire sample, the mean amount allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository (in US dollars) is \$131,840.91, with a median of \$30,000.00. The minimum here is \$0.00, and the maximum is \$1,000,000.00. The mean and median are both considerably higher for public schools (mean of \$183,964.29; median of \$46,250.00) than for private schools (mean of \$12,500.00; median of \$14,000.00). There is a correlation between a rise in enrollment and a rise in the depository’s budget. The mean is \$9,800.00 and the median is \$8,000.00 for schools with an enrollment of 5,000 students or less; the mean is \$144,250.00 and the median is \$28,000.00 for schools with an enrollment between 5,001 and 25,000 students; and the mean is \$206,785.71 and the median is \$55,000.00 for schools with an enrollment of more than 25,000 students. Repositories within the United States have a higher mean budget (\$166,142.86) but a lower median budget (\$25,000.00) than repositories outside of the United States (mean of \$71,812.50; median of \$46,250.00).

### **Rate of Change in Spending**

Across the entire sample, the mean rate of change in spending on the digital repository from 2012 to 2013 was 5.39%, with a median of 0.00%. The maximum here is 70.00%. This maximum is a significant outlier, as the next highest rate of change in spending given was 10.00%. The mean rate of change in spending on the digital repository from 2013 to 2014 (anticipated) is slightly higher at 6.28%, again with a median of 0.00%.

### **Labor and the Digital Repository**

#### **Man Hours**

Across the entire sample, the mean number of man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor required to operate and market the digital repository is 2,926.90, with a median of 2,000.00. (One full-time person would be about 1,800 man hours per year.) The minimum here is 150.00, and the maximum is 22,000.00. For public schools, the mean is 3,611.50 and the median is 2,350.00, which are both higher than the mean (1,556.00) and median (1,500.00) for private schools. When broken out by type of institution, research universities have the highest mean (4,723.00), followed by PhD-granting schools (2,833.33), followed by non-higher education institutions (2,250.00), then finally BA/MA-granting schools (1,906.50).

### **Sources of Labor**

Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository that comes from the library is 96.03%. The median here is 100.00%, with a minimum of 80.00%. These numbers indicate that, by and large, the vast majority of the labor needed to operate the depository comes directly from the library itself. Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository that comes from academic departments is only 0.70%, with a median of 0.00% and a maximum of 10.00%. The mean percentage of overall skilled labor coming from Information Technology oriented departments is 3.17%, with a median of 0.00% and a maximum of 20.00%. The mean percentage of overall skilled labor coming from other departments or entities is 0.10%, with a median of 0.00% and a maximum of 2.00%.

### **Funding**

Across the entire sample, 87.88% of the digital repositories are funded largely from the main library budget, 3.03% are funded largely through grants, and 9.09% are funded through both grants and the library budget.

### **Contents in the Digital Repository**

#### **Volume of Contents**

Across the entire sample, the mean total number of books in the digital depository is 551.46 (with a median of 47.50), the mean total number of journal articles is 3,199.32 (with a median of 500.00), the mean total number of articles from non-refereed sources is 777.09 (with a median of 50.00), the mean total number of photographs or digital images is 1,897.62 (with a median of 100.00), and the mean total number of videos is 43.00 (with a median of 3.00).

#### **Access to Contents**

Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of books in the digital repository that can be described as full open access prior to or upon publication is 73.75% (with a median of 99.00%). The mean percentage of books described as full open access directly upon or prior to publication is 86.81% for public schools and only 40.00% for private schools; the mean percentage is 94.63% for institutions outside the United States and 62.00% for institutions within the United States. Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of books that can be described as full open access after a waiting period of less than one year is 2.74% (with a median of 0.00%), and the mean percentage of books that can be described as full open access after a waiting period of more than one year is 6.48% (with a median of 0.00%).

Across the entire sample, the mean percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as full open access is 77.29%, with a median of 100.00%. The mean percentage of journal articles that can be described as access restricted to page view limit is 4.17%, with a median of 0.00%. The mean percentage of journal articles that can be

described as access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions if 15.52%, with a median of 0.00%.

**Cataloging of Contents**

When asked to pick the phrase which best describes how their digital repository is catalogued by the institution's library, 42.42% of respondents said "it's standalone and primarily not cataloged," 15.15% said that "only works published elsewhere are cataloged," 15.15% said that "a good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged," and 27.27% said that "most of it is already cataloged."

## **CHAPTER 1: Repository Dimensions**

**Table 1.1 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?**

|               | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 1.10 | 0.75   | 0.02    | 8.00    |

**Table 1.2 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 1.33 | 1.00   | 0.03    | 8.00    |
| Private        | 0.53 | 0.63   | 0.10    | 0.75    |

**Table 1.3 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.51 | 0.50   | 0.10    | 1.00    |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.52 | 0.50   | 0.03    | 1.00    |
| 25,001+      | 2.28 | 2.00   | 0.20    | 8.00    |

**Table 1.4 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.54 | 0.50   | 0.03    | 1.00    |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.75 | 0.75   | 0.75    | 0.75    |
| Research University              | 2.00 | 1.50   | 0.03    | 8.00    |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.51 | 0.51   | 0.02    | 1.00    |

**Table 1.5 How many total terabytes of data are housed in your repository?  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 1.26 | 0.75   | 0.02    | 8.00    |
| Other   | 0.58 | 0.50   | 0.20    | 1.00    |

**Table 2 Your digital repository contains which of the following:**
**Table 2.1.1 Repository contains books written by faculty**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 60.61% | 39.39% |

**Table 2.1.2 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 68.18% | 31.82% |
| Private        | 33.33% | 66.67% |

**Table 2.1.3 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 54.55% | 45.45% |
| 25,001+      | 90.91% | 9.09%  |

**Table 2.1.4 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes     | No     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 44.44%  | 55.56% |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67%  | 33.33% |
| Research University              | 80.00%  | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00% | 0.00%  |

**Table 2.1.5 Repository contains books written by faculty Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 52.38% | 47.62% |
| Other   | 75.00% | 25.00% |

**Table 2.2.1 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 87.88% | 12.12% |

**Table 2.2.2 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 86.36% | 13.64% |
| Private        | 88.89% | 11.11% |

**Table 2.2.3 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes     | No     |
|--------------|---------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 77.78%  | 22.22% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 81.82%  | 18.18% |
| 25,001+      | 100.00% | 0.00%  |

**Table 2.2.4 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes     | No     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 83.33%  | 16.67% |
| PhD-granting                     | 100.00% | 0.00%  |
| Research University              | 90.00%  | 10.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00% | 0.00%  |

**Table 2.2.5 Repository contains journal articles written by faculty Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes     | No     |
|---------|---------|--------|
| USA     | 80.95%  | 19.05% |
| Other   | 100.00% | 0.00%  |

**Table 2.3.1 Repository contains presentations made by faculty**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 72.73% | 27.27% |

**Table 2.3.2 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 68.18% | 31.82% |
| Private        | 88.89% | 11.11% |

**Table 2.3.3 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 77.78% | 22.22% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 63.64% | 36.36% |
| 25,001+      | 81.82% | 18.18% |

**Table 2.3.4 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes     | No     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 66.67%  | 33.33% |
| PhD-granting                     | 100.00% | 0.00%  |
| Research University              | 80.00%  | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00%  | 50.00% |

**Table 2.3.5 Repository contains presentations made by faculty Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 76.19% | 23.81% |
| Other   | 66.67% | 33.33% |

**Table 2.4.1 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 36.36% | 63.64% |

**Table 2.4.2 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 40.91% | 59.09% |
| Private        | 22.22% | 77.78% |

**Table 2.4.3 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 36.36% | 63.64% |
| 25,001+      | 45.45% | 54.55% |

**Table 2.4.4 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 33.33% | 66.67%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 50.00% | 50.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00%  |

**Table 2.4.5 Repository contains magazine or newspaper articles written by faculty Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 42.86% | 57.14% |
| Other   | 25.00% | 75.00% |

**Table 2.5.1 Repository contains digital images**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 54.55% | 45.45% |

**Table 2.5.2 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 54.55% | 45.45% |
| Private        | 66.67% | 33.33% |

**Table 2.5.3 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 55.56% | 44.44% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 36.36% | 63.64% |
| 25,001+      | 81.82% | 18.18% |

**Table 2.5.4 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 55.56% | 44.44%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33% | 66.67%  |
| Research University              | 70.00% | 30.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.5.5 Repository contains digital images Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 66.67% | 33.33% |
| Other   | 33.33% | 66.67% |

**Table 2.6.1 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 87.88% | 12.12% |

**Table 2.6.2 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 90.91% | 9.09%  |
| Private        | 88.89% | 11.11% |

**Table 2.6.3 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 88.89% | 11.11% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 90.91% | 9.09%  |
| 25,001+      | 90.91% | 9.09%  |

**Table 2.6.4 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes     | No     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 94.44%  | 5.56%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33%  | 66.67% |
| Research University              | 100.00% | 0.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00%  | 50.00% |

**Table 2.6.5 Repository contains dissertations and/or theses Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 85.71% | 14.29% |
| Other   | 91.67% | 8.33%  |

**Table 2.7.1 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 18.18% | 81.82% |

**Table 2.7.2 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 18.18% | 81.82% |
| Private        | 22.22% | 77.78% |

**Table 2.7.3 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 18.18% | 81.82% |
| 25,001+      | 27.27% | 72.73% |

**Table 2.7.4 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 40.00% | 60.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.7.5 Repository contains lectures, courses and coursework Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 14.29% | 85.71% |
| Other   | 25.00% | 75.00% |

**Table 2.8.1 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 15.15% | 84.85% |

**Table 2.8.2 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 13.64% | 86.36% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89% |

**Table 2.8.3 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 18.18% | 81.82% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%  | 90.91% |

**Table 2.8.4 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 20.00% | 80.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00%  |

**Table 2.8.5 Repository contains textbooks written by faculty Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 9.52%  | 90.48% |
| Other   | 25.00% | 75.00% |

**Table 2.9.1 Repository contains university records**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 30.30% | 69.70% |

**Table 2.9.2 Repository contains university records Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| Private        | 44.44% | 55.56% |

**Table 2.9.3 Repository contains university records Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 45.45% | 54.55% |
| 25,001+      | 18.18% | 81.82% |

**Table 2.9.4 Repository contains university records Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 27.78% | 72.22%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 50.00% | 50.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.9.5 Repository contains university records Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 42.86% | 57.14% |
| Other   | 8.33%  | 91.67% |

**Table 2.10.1 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 51.52% | 48.48% |

**Table 2.10.2 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 54.55% | 45.45% |
| Private        | 55.56% | 44.44% |

**Table 2.10.3 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 45.45% | 54.55% |
| 25,001+      | 81.82% | 18.18% |

**Table 2.10.4 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 38.89% | 61.11%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33% | 66.67%  |
| Research University              | 90.00% | 10.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.10.5 Repository contains images of rare books or historical documents Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 57.14% | 42.86% |
| Other   | 41.67% | 58.33% |

**Table 2.11.1 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 54.55% | 45.45% |

**Table 2.11.2 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 50.00% | 50.00% |
| Private        | 66.67% | 33.33% |

**Table 2.11.3 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 44.44% | 55.56% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 63.64% | 36.36% |
| 25,001+      | 54.55% | 45.45% |

**Table 2.11.4 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 44.44% | 55.56% |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| Research University              | 80.00% | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Table 2.11.5 Repository contains college publications such as the alumni magazine Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 71.43% | 28.57% |
| Other   | 25.00% | 75.00% |

**Table 2.12.1 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 9.09% | 90.91% |

**Table 2.12.2 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 9.09%  | 90.91% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89% |

**Table 2.12.3 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No      |
|--------------|--------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%  | 90.91%  |

**Table 2.12.4 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 16.67% | 83.33%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.12.5 Repository contains patents issued to faculty or the university Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 4.76%  | 95.24% |
| Other   | 16.67% | 83.33% |

**Table 2.13.1 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 21.21% | 78.79% |

**Table 2.13.2 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89% |

**Table 2.13.3 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 18.18% | 81.82% |
| 25,001+      | 36.36% | 63.64% |

**Table 2.13.4 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67% | 33.33%  |
| Research University              | 30.00% | 70.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 2.13.5 Repository contains Notebooks, scholarly papers of noted college scholars  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 23.81% | 76.19% |
| Other   | 16.67% | 83.33% |

**Table 2.14.1 Repository contains audio files**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 48.48% | 51.52% |

**Table 2.14.2 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 50.00% | 50.00% |
| Private        | 44.44% | 55.56% |

**Table 2.14.3 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 18.18% | 81.82% |
| 25,001+      | 90.91% | 9.09%  |

**Table 2.14.4 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| Research University              | 80.00% | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Table 2.14.5 Repository contains audio files Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 57.14% | 42.86% |
| Other   | 33.33% | 66.67% |

**Table 2.15.1 Repository contains video files**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 54.55% | 45.45% |

**Table 2.15.2 Repository contains video files Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 59.09% | 40.91% |
| Private        | 44.44% | 55.56% |

**Table 2.15.3 Repository contains video files Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 44.44% | 55.56% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| 25,001+      | 90.91% | 9.09%  |

**Table 2.15.4 Repository contains video files Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 44.44% | 55.56% |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| Research University              | 80.00% | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Table 2.15.5 Repository contains video files Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 57.14% | 42.86% |
| Other   | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Your digital repository contains which of the following (specified other):**

1. Book chapters.
2. Learning objects; research data.
3. Senior project reports (undergrad theses).
4. Classification from the University Archive.
5. Research data.
6. Any scholarly work.
7. Several open access journals.
8. Student publications, including newspapers, magazines, and journals.
9. Student work.
10. Technical reports, news.
11. Software.

**Table 3.1 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?**

|               | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 4.60 | 5.00   | 0.50    | 9.00    |

**Table 3.2 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 5.04 | 5.00   | 0.50    | 9.00    |
| Private        | 4.17 | 4.00   | 1.00    | 6.00    |

**Table 3.3 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 3.56 | 3.50   | 0.50    | 6.00    |
| 5,001-25,000 | 5.09 | 6.00   | 1.00    | 9.00    |
| 25,001+      | 5.34 | 5.00   | 1.75    | 9.00    |

**Table 3.4 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 4.26 | 4.00   | 0.50    | 9.00    |
| PhD-granting                     | 7.00 | 7.00   | 5.00    | 9.00    |
| Research University              | 4.98 | 5.00   | 1.75    | 9.00    |
| Non-higher education institution | 2.00 | 2.00   | 1.00    | 3.00    |

**Table 3.5 How long (in years) has the digital repository been in operation?  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 4.20 | 4.50   | 0.50    | 9.00    |
| Other   | 5.36 | 6.00   | 1.00    | 9.00    |

## **CHAPTER 2: Marketing the Digital Repository**

**Table 4.1 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users (in US dollars)?**

|               | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Entire sample | \$3,707.41  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$70,000.00    |

**Table 4.2 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Public                | \$4,176.47  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$70,000.00    |
| Private               | \$3,512.50  | \$250.00      | \$0.00         | \$17,000.00    |

**Table 4.3 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0-5,000           | \$3,125.00  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$17,000.00    |
| 5,001-25,000      | \$9,137.50  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$70,000.00    |
| 25,001+           | \$111.11    | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$500.00       |

**Table 4.4 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | \$6,821.43  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$70,000.00    |
| PhD-granting                     | \$0.00      | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$0.00         |
| Research University              | \$400.00    | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$2,600.00     |
| Non-higher education institution | \$500.00    | \$500.00      | \$0.00         | \$1,000.00     |

**Table 4.5 How much did your organization spend in the past year in marketing the digital repository to potential users? Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| USA            | \$1,394.74  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$17,000.00    |
| Other          | \$9,200.00  | \$0.00        | \$0.00         | \$70,000.00    |

**Table 5 Does your institutional repository have any of the following:**

**Table 5.1.1 Repository has a link to the college library website?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 75.76% | 24.24% |

**Table 5.1.2 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 77.27% | 22.73% |
| Private        | 77.78% | 22.22% |

**Table 5.1.3 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 77.78% | 22.22% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 72.73% | 27.27% |
| 25,001+      | 81.82% | 18.18% |

**Table 5.1.4 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 77.78% | 22.22% |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67% | 33.33% |
| Research University              | 80.00% | 20.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Table 5.1.5 Repository has a link to the college library website Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 85.71% | 14.29% |
| Other   | 58.33% | 41.67% |

**Table 5.2.1 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 57.58% | 42.42% |

**Table 5.2.2 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 50.00% | 50.00% |
| Private        | 66.67% | 33.33% |

**Table 5.2.3 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 44.44% | 55.56% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 54.55% | 45.45% |
| 25,001+      | 63.64% | 36.36% |

**Table 5.2.4 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes     | No     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.00%  | 50.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67%  | 33.33% |
| Research University              | 60.00%  | 40.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00% | 0.00%  |

**Table 5.2.5 Repository has a link to the college website apart from any link to the library  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 52.38% | 47.62% |
| Other   | 66.67% | 33.33% |

**Table 5.3.1 Repository has a presence on Instagram?**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 96.97% |

**Table 5.3.2 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No      |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| Public         | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89%  |

**Table 5.3.3 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No      |
|--------------|--------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.3.4 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes   | No      |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 5.56% | 94.44%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.3.5 Repository has a presence on Instagram Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No      |
|---------|-------|---------|
| USA     | 4.76% | 95.24%  |
| Other   | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.4.1 Repository has a presence on Pinterest**

|               | Yes   | No      |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| Entire sample | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.5.1 Repository has a presence on Flickr**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 96.97% |

**Table 5.5.2 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No      |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| Public         | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89%  |

**Table 5.5.3 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No      |
|--------------|--------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.5.4 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes   | No      |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 5.56% | 94.44%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.5.5 Repository has a presence on Flickr Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No      |
|---------|-------|---------|
| USA     | 4.76% | 95.24%  |
| Other   | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.6.1 Repository has a presence on YouTube?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 12.12% | 87.88% |

**Table 5.6.2 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 13.64% | 86.36% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89% |

**Table 5.6.3 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No      |
|--------------|--------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 18.18% | 81.82%  |
| 25,001+      | 18.18% | 81.82%  |

**Table 5.6.4 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 20.00% | 80.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.6.5 Repository has a presence on YouTube Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 4.76%  | 95.24% |
| Other   | 25.00% | 75.00% |

**Table 5.7.1 Repository has its own blog?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 12.12% | 87.88% |

**Table 5.7.2 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 4.55%  | 95.45% |
| Private        | 33.33% | 66.67% |

**Table 5.7.3 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 9.09%  | 90.91% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%  | 90.91% |

**Table 5.7.4 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 16.67% | 83.33%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 10.00% | 90.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.7.5 Repository has its own blog Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 9.52%  | 90.48% |
| Other   | 16.67% | 83.33% |

**Table 5.8.1 Repository has a brochure**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 27.27% | 72.73% |

**Table 5.8.2 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 31.82% | 68.18% |
| Private        | 22.22% | 77.78% |

**Table 5.8.3 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| 25,001+      | 45.45% | 54.55% |

**Table 5.8.4 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 70.00% | 30.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.8.5 Repository has a brochure Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes | No |
|---------|-----|----|
|---------|-----|----|

|       |        |        |
|-------|--------|--------|
| USA   | 33.33% | 66.67% |
| Other | 16.67% | 83.33% |

**Table 5.9.1 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast?**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 96.97% |

**Table 5.9.2 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes   | No      |
|----------------|-------|---------|
| Public         | 4.55% | 95.45%  |
| Private        | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.9.3 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes   | No      |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09% | 90.91%  |

**Table 5.9.4 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 10.00% | 90.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 5.9.5 Repository has a regular webcast or podcast Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No      |
|---------|-------|---------|
| USA     | 4.76% | 95.24%  |
| Other   | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 5.10.1 Repository has a published an annual report?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 21.21% | 78.79% |

**Table 5.10.2 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 22.73% | 77.27% |
| Private        | 11.11% | 88.89% |

**Table 5.10.3 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| 25,001+      | 18.18% | 81.82% |

**Table 5.10.4 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Type**

| Type                | Yes    | No      |
|---------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting      | 22.22% | 77.78%  |
| PhD-granting        | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University | 20.00% | 80.00%  |

|                                  |        |        |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00% | 50.00% |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|

**Table 5.10.5 Repository has a published annual report Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| USA            | 28.57%     | 71.43%    |
| Other          | 8.33%      | 91.67%    |

**Table 6.1 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year?**

|               | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 1.34 | 0.50   | 0.00    | 12.00   |

**Table 6.2 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 1.71 | 1.00   | 0.00    | 12.00   |
| Private        | 0.56 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 3.00    |

**Table 6.3 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.63 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 3.00    |
| 5,001-25,000 | 1.18 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 4.00    |
| 25,001+      | 2.09 | 1.00   | 0.00    | 12.00   |

**Table 6.4 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 1.06 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 4.00    |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| Research University              | 2.30 | 1.00   | 0.00    | 12.00   |
| Non-higher education institution | 1.00 | 1.00   | 1.00    | 1.00    |

**Table 6.5 How many press releases about or from the repository did the library send out in the past year? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 1.67 | 1.00   | 0.00    | 12.00   |
| Other   | 0.73 | 0.00   | 0.00    | 3.00    |

**Describe how you market the repository both to internal and external users. Have you used search engine optimization techniques? What about your site linking strategy. Your press release efforts? Outreach at conferences? Etc.**

1. We concentrate on focused outreach. We identify groups on campus and send a personalized message about IR services. We have an over 80% response rate. We also do presentations at conferences.
2. Presentations to faculty departments. Presentations at state-wide digitization workshops. Bundle with Open Access Policy information and presentations. Occasional press releases through Libraries Communications Director.
3. We really have not done any marketing to our internal or external users.
4. As this is the initial year we have not yet marketed the IR.
5. We market internally through training sessions and attendance at meetings.
6. Marketing occurs through one-on-one and face-to-face outreach, as well as through seminars and workshops.
7. Blog, Facebook, Twitter (#throwbackthursday), Instagram.
8. Internal: OAW, round table, blog information, official communications to the university authorities, promotional materials, brochure, Twitter, Facebook. External: Blog, Twitter, Facebook, brochure, presentations in professional meetings.
9. For the most part, promotional efforts for our digital collections are integrated into our other Library communications - e.g., we use the Library's social media accounts, blog, newsletter, etc. On campus, most communication with current or potential users is done on a case-by-case basis, usually with personal contact via email or (more successfully) face to face. We have introduced the idea of extending our repository to include faculty and student scholarship to the Faculty Senate and faculty representatives on the Library's Advisory Committee.
10. Word of mouth.
11. We present at conferences and internal meetings at our University. We hand out brochures to new staff at induction seminars and at one-to-one meetings. We ensure our repository data is crawled by internet search engines, with detailed metadata about formats, licensing, etc. We don't publicize new content all that much except via internal news magazines and news articles. We are working on a strategy to publicize more via Twitter and other social networking sites.
12. Anywhere and everywhere.
13. SEO, publish blurbs in student newspaper, present at conferences, personal outreach to individual faculty, link backs on departmental pages, occasional (weekly) posting on Facebook and Twitter, webinar, and much individual campus outreach, including presentation to deans and chairs.

14. Outreach at conferences, flyer, newsletter, no search engine optimization techniques.
15. None.
16. Minimal to non-existent marketing to date. Much is through personal contact with faculty, students, organizations, & alumni. Offered brown bag sessions targeting faculty serving as honors thesis advisors & held meeting for students completing senior projects/thesis in the sciences.
17. The repository has not yet "launched" within the organization. Many people know it by word of mouth. The plan is to launch the first major publicity push later this year. The repository is internal to the company so the linking strategy will be to link to various intranet sites. We are not worried about SEO techniques, as the repository is not on the open internet.
18. The repository is harvested by many sites, and we make the top 100 in the world. The National Library of Australia's TROVE gateway aggregates all Australian universities. We monitor Google, etc and the site is optimized.
19. Internal: F2F marketing, print collateral. External: SEO.
20. We get the word out about the university repository through librarians talking about it to their faculty, raising it in university-wide meetings, and the like.
21. We talk about it a lot at conferences. Most users find out about it through Google.
22. Word-of-mouth, presentations at faculty meetings, dedicated speaking events (talks with discussion afterwards).
23. Word of mouth and email. SEO by bepress.
24. Presentations at meetings, e-mail.
25. We have only recently progressed to where our repository is ready to be marketed.
26. We don't really market the repository; we have been soliciting faculty to submit their research (and doing all the work to make it happen) prior to the adoption of our OA policy; this was partly to raise the profile of our IR and partly to pave the way for passage of the OA policy.

**Table 7 Does your repository have any of the following:**

**Table 7.1.1 There is a Twitter feed for the repository?**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 9.09% | 90.91% |

**Table 7.1.2 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No      |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| Public         | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Private        | 33.33% | 66.67%  |

**Table 7.1.3 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 11.11% | 88.89% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 9.09%  | 90.91% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%  | 90.91% |

**Table 7.1.4 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 5.56%  | 94.44%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 20.00% | 80.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 7.1.5 There is a Twitter feed for the repository Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No     |
|---------|-------|--------|
| USA     | 9.52% | 90.48% |
| Other   | 8.33% | 91.67% |

**Table 7.2.1 There is a Facebook site for the repository?**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 9.09% | 90.91% |

**Table 7.2.2 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 4.55%  | 95.45% |
| Private        | 22.22% | 77.78% |

**Table 7.2.3 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No      |
|--------------|--------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%  | 90.91%  |

**Table 7.2.4 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.11% | 88.89%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 10.00% | 90.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 7.2.5 There is a Facebook site for the repository Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| USA            | 14.29%     | 85.71%    |
| Other          | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |

**Table 7.3.1 There is a LinkedIn Site for the repository?**

|               | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |

**Has the library done any research on the impact of the digital repository on the citation rate of journal articles in the repository? If so what has it discovered?**

1. Not yet.
2. Have attempted to research this but have not been able to establish a direct correlation.
3. No.
4. No.
5. No, but we would be interested in finding out.
6. No research has been done in this area.
7. No, but we are thinking of doing it
8. This has not been researched
9. Yes. Many people are citing wrong. Guides and recommended citation can reduce this.
10. None have been done at this time.
11. No local research has been done. We have relied on larger studies.
12. No.
13. No.
14. Too soon to say.
15. No. Would like to do so as soon as we have more material to work with.

**In general what has been the impact of the digital repository on your institution's overall online presence?**

1. Unknown.
2. Very small at the moment.
3. We really do not know.
4. It does show up on Google Scholar, but we are still at the early stages of its operation.
5. Not a whole lot because we are just getting started since we took it over from another institution.
6. Unknown, but we do receive a large number of visitors.
7. So far the repository's impact has been negligible.
8. It is seen as a boon to institutional advancement and recruiting because it is focused on institutional history, especially photos and historic publications.
9. It has been important. By now, a department that is thinking of including material on the internet comes to the institutional repository.
10. Unnoticed.
11. This is a small part of our presence online.
12. Positive.
13. Huge. We are working with a highly optimized system and more and more people are finding us and wanting our services each day.
14. The repository has had visitors from 143+ countries. It was featured in a national webinar. Though young, it is beginning to impact the college's online presence.
15. Important. If you Google for a title, our repository will count among the first three hits.
16. No impact. This is not the point of the repository. The repository for us is: a) a way to track the research publications of faculty, b) a means for faculty to discover other faculty's research expertise, and c) a way to answer operational questions for the organization.
17. Very significant.
18. It has extended and expanded it.
19. We have had an online presence since before the worldwide web, so our digital resources are picked up by the search engines whether those resources are in the repository or not.
20. We get many requests from authors of theses (alumni) for their own thesis, and from others outside our institution who want to read our students' theses. This number is growing.
21. Positive, but we would like to increase impact by a lot.
22. Conjecture: astounding number of downloads has increased the overall visibility of the institution as a home to quality scholarship.
23. It has brought attention to the scholarly activity of faculty and students, which we had no way of doing before.

24. Not much yet, because it's so new.
25. Not measurable so far, but optimistic for the future.

**What information resources about digital repositories -- blogs, listservs, websites, newsletters, ezines, magazines, conference and other resources -- are most valuable to you?**

1. Listservs, blogs, conferences, journal articles.
2. UKCORR, RSP, EPrints.
3. Blogs or newsletters.
4. Listservs, journals, conferences.
5. Practical stuff about IRs which are starting.
6. Blogs, conferences.
7. Listservs and websites.
8. CNI, SPARC, CodeLib, Open Repositories, NDLTD, Digital Library Federation, DuraSpace.
9. Listservs, conferences regarding digital preservation and curation in repositories.
10. Conferences, blogs and listservs.
11. Blogs, conferences, listservs.
12. Conference webinars, listservs, forums.
13. Listservs, conference, websites.
14. Blogs, conferences, articles.
15. Websites.
16. Blogs, conferences, newsletters.
17. Magazines, conference and other resources.
18. DSpace tech listserv.
19. Listservs.
20. SCHOLCOMM list, bepress Google Group, SPARC OA conference, colleagues.
21. Websites, journal articles.
22. Blogs, listservs.
23. Listservs.
24. Blogs, websites, conferences, Twitter.
25. Bepress listserv, Oberlin Group meetings.
26. Webinars and reference materials provided by the vendor.
27. Conferences.
28. Digital commons Google group, sparc listservs.

## **CHAPTER 3: Revenue from the Digital Repository**

**Table 8.1 Does the repository charge a fee at any time for any articles or downloads, including charges aimed only at cost recovery?**

|               | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |

**Table 9.1 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository?**

|               | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 24.24%     | 75.76%    |

**Table 9.2 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Public                | 27.27%     | 72.73%    |
| Private               | 11.11%     | 88.89%    |

**Table 9.3 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 0-5,000           | 11.11%      | 88.89%        |
| 5,001-25,000      | 18.18%      | 81.82%        |
| 25,001+           | 36.36%      | 63.64%        |

**Table 9.4 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 16.67%     | 83.33%    |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67%     | 33.33%    |
| Research University              | 20.00%     | 80.00%    |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00%     | 50.00%    |

**Table 9.5 Has the repository formed reciprocal access, development or marketing arrangements with any other digital repository? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 9.52%  | 90.48% |
| Other   | 50.00% | 50.00% |

**Table 10.1 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own?**

|               | Yes   | No     |
|---------------|-------|--------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 96.97% |

**Table 10.2 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes   | No      |
|----------------|-------|---------|
| Public         | 4.55% | 95.45%  |
| Private        | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 10.3 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes   | No      |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 9.09% | 90.91%  |

**Table 10.4 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes   | No      |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 5.56% | 94.44%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 10.5 Has the repository garnered any revenue over the past three years in providing consulting services to any other repository or library unit of institutions other than your own? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No      |
|---------|-------|---------|
| USA     | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Other   | 8.33% | 91.67%  |

**Table 10.6 Has the repository concluded any agreements over the past three years to license its content to others?**

|               | Yes   | No      |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| Entire sample | 0.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 11.1 What best describes how your digital depository is funded?**

|               | Largely through grants | Largely from the main library budget | Both grants and library budget |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Entire sample | 3.03%                  | 87.88%                               | 9.09%                          |

**Table 11.2 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Largely through grants | Largely from the main library budget | Both grants and library budget |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Public         | 4.55%                  | 90.91%                               | 4.55%                          |
| Private        | 0.00%                  | 77.78%                               | 22.22%                         |

**Table 11.3 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Largely through grants | Largely from the main library budget | Both grants and library budget |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00%                  | 88.89%                               | 11.11%                         |
| 5,001-25,000 | 9.09%                  | 72.73%                               | 18.18%                         |
| 25,001+      | 0.00%                  | 100.00%                              | 0.00%                          |

**Table 11.4 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Largely through grants | Largely from the main library budget | Both grants and library budget |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.00%                  | 88.89%                               | 11.11%                         |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%                  | 100.00%                              | 0.00%                          |
| Research University              | 10.00%                 | 80.00%                               | 10.00%                         |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%                  | 100.00%                              | 0.00%                          |

**Table 11.5 What best describes how your digital depository is funded? Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Largely through grants</b> | <b>Largely from the main library budget</b> | <b>Both grants and library budget</b> |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| USA            | 4.76%                         | 90.48%                                      | 4.76%                                 |
| Other          | 0.00%                         | 83.33%                                      | 16.67%                                |

## **CHAPTER 4: Access Data for the Digital Repository**

**Table 12.1 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year?**

|               | Mean      | Median    | Minimum | Maximum    |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| Entire sample | 74,586.09 | 60,000.00 | 17.00   | 406,457.00 |

**Table 12.2 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean      | Median    | Minimum | Maximum    |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| Public         | 87,132.64 | 62,500.00 | 17.00   | 406,457.00 |
| Private        | 24,319.69 | 13,063.50 | 151.75  | 71,000.00  |

**Table 12.3 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean       | Median     | Minimum   | Maximum    |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 0-5,000      | 24,286.00  | 13,063.50  | 17.00     | 71,000.00  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 17,227.96  | 5,945.00   | 151.75    | 65,000.00  |
| 25,001+      | 139,578.00 | 116,432.00 | 17,069.00 | 406,457.00 |

**Table 12.4 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean       | Median     | Minimum    | Maximum    |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 25,967.00  | 10,000.00  | 17.00      | 98,343.00  |
| PhD-granting                     | 237,768.00 | 237,768.00 | 69,079.00  | 406,457.00 |
| Research University              | 86,842.39  | 65,000.00  | 151.75     | 171,166.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 100,000.00 | 100,000.00 | 100,000.00 | 100,000.00 |

**Table 12.5 Approximately how many unique visitors has the repository website or web page received in the past year? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean      | Median    | Minimum | Maximum    |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| USA     | 57,120.90 | 42,863.00 | 600.00  | 171,166.00 |
| Other   | 93,991.86 | 69,079.00 | 17.00   | 406,457.00 |

**Table 13.1 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year?**

|               | Mean       | Median     | Minimum | Maximum      |
|---------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|
| Entire sample | 405,707.70 | 158,332.00 | 30.00   | 2,889,348.00 |

**Table 13.2 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean       | Median     | Minimum   | Maximum      |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Public         | 335,768.40 | 127,113.00 | 30.00     | 1,200,000.00 |
| Private        | 602,107.29 | 264,403.00 | 29,000.00 | 2,889,348.00 |

**Table 13.3 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean       | Median     | Minimum  | Maximum      |
|--------------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| 0-5,000      | 189,738.83 | 161,201.50 | 30.00    | 480,000.00   |
| 5,001-25,000 | 511,239.67 | 9,000.00   | 500.00   | 2,889,348.00 |
| 25,001+      | 504,540.60 | 293,500.00 | 5,663.00 | 1,200,000.00 |

**Table 13.4 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean         | Median       | Minimum   | Maximum      |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 155,516.42   | 108,166.00   | 30.00     | 480,000.00   |
| PhD-granting                     | 48,258.67    | 12,000.00    | 5,663.00  | 127,113.00   |
| Research University              | 1,034,329.14 | 1,084,636.00 | 12,889.00 | 2,889,348.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 80,000.00    | 80,000.00    | 80,000.00 | 80,000.00    |

**Table 13.5 If the repository allows direct downloads approximately how many downloads of articles or other materials occurred within the past year? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean       | Median     | Minimum   | Maximum      |
|---------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| USA     | 465,138.62 | 307,000.00 | 12,000.00 | 1,200,000.00 |
| Other   | 328,447.50 | 9,444.50   | 30.00     | 2,889,348.00 |

**Table 14 What percentage of downloads from the repository come from the following sources:**

**Table 14.1.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 54.14% | 64.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 14.1.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 44.54% | 54.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 73.00% | 75.50% | 33.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 14.1.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 81.00% | 80.00% | 64.00%  | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 55.11% | 59.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 32.31% | 12.00% | 0.18%   | 90.00%  |

**Table 14.1.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 61.08% | 75.50% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.73% | 1.00%  | 0.18%   | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 50.83% | 56.50% | 5.00%   | 90.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 60.00% | 60.00% | 20.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 14.1.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within the United States Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 81.22% | 90.00% | 54.00%  | 100.00% |
| Other   | 10.15% | 7.50%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |

**Table 14.2.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 6.01% | 2.00%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |

**Table 14.2.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 7.39% | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |
| Private        | 4.21% | 3.50%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 14.2.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 5.00%  | 4.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 11.85% | 0.20%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 1.90%  | 2.00%  | 0.11%   | 5.00%   |

**Table 14.2.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 10.72% | 2.50%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.10%  | 0.11%  | 0.00%   | 0.20%   |
| Research University              | 2.30%  | 2.25%  | 0.27%   | 5.00%   |
| Non-higher education institution | 2.50%  | 2.50%  | 0.00%   | 5.00%   |

**Table 14.2.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from Canada Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 2.90%  | 2.50%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Other   | 11.07% | 0.64%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |

**Table 14.3.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 39.84% | 31.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 14.3.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 48.08% | 38.50% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 22.79% | 17.50% | 0.00%   | 66.73%  |

**Table 14.3.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 14.00% | 10.00% | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 33.03% | 10.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 65.79% | 86.00% | 8.00%   | 99.71%  |

**Table 14.3.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 28.20% | 10.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.17% | 98.80% | 0.00%   | 99.71%  |
| Research University              | 46.87% | 40.75% | 8.00%   | 94.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 37.50% | 37.50% | 0.00%   | 75.00%  |

**Table 14.3.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from other foreign countries Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 15.88% | 10.00% | 0.00%   | 43.00%  |
| Other   | 78.78% | 90.00% | 10.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 14.4.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 44.78% | 27.50% | 0.44%   | 100.00% |

**Table 14.4.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 47.73% | 44.00% | 0.80%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 33.29% | 13.16% | 0.44%   | 95.00%  |

**Table 14.4.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 39.86% | 20.00% | 6.00%   | 95.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 50.86% | 51.50% | 0.44%   | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 35.76% | 5.00%  | 0.80%   | 90.00%  |

**Table 14.4.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 38.41% | 14.00% | 5.00%   | 95.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 34.60% | 3.00%  | 0.80%   | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 56.81% | 80.00% | 0.44%   | 90.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 67.50% | 67.50% | 35.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 14.4.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from within your Institution Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 55.26% | 72.00% | 6.00%   | 100.00% |
| Other   | 31.32% | 5.00%  | 0.44%   | 95.00%  |

**Table 14.5.1 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 55.22% | 72.50% | 0.00%   | 99.56%  |

**Table 14.5.2 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 52.28% | 56.00% | 0.00%   | 99.20%  |
| Private        | 66.71% | 86.85% | 5.00%   | 99.56%  |

**Table 14.5.3 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 60.14% | 80.00% | 5.00%   | 94.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 49.14% | 48.50% | 0.00%   | 99.56%  |
| 25,001+      | 64.24% | 95.00% | 10.00%  | 99.20%  |

**Table 14.5.4 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 61.59% | 86.00% | 5.00%   | 95.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 65.40% | 97.00% | 0.00%   | 99.20%  |
| Research University              | 43.19% | 20.00% | 10.00%  | 99.56%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 32.50% | 32.50% | 0.00%   | 65.00%  |

**Table 14.5.5 Percentage of downloads from the repository coming from outside your Institution Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 44.74% | 28.00% | 0.00%   | 94.00%  |
| Other   | 68.68% | 95.00% | 5.00%   | 99.56%  |

**Comment on trends in the downloading of materials from your repository. Are downloads increasing in a particular subject area? For a particular part of the world or from certain types of institutions?**

1. January and July are the months with highest numbers of downloads. Information regarding countries and subject areas not available.
2. We have not noticed any discernible change in the top 30 countries which account for our downloads.
3. Our primary non-US users continue to be in South Korea. ETDs are one of our largest collections so they tend to be downloaded the most. A document on an open container law continues to be the #1 item downloaded.
4. Dissertations usually lead in downloads. When a new digital exhibition is announced, those objects (temporarily) are downloaded the most. We under-purchased the number of searches from GeoCountries, so we are currently unable to analyze geographical trends.
5. We have not fully implemented detailed statistics gathering. Also, the numbers include visits from bots.
6. Historic images.
7. There is an increase of downloading from the developing countries. From 81 countries that downloaded documents in 2010, now in 2012 there are 208 countries, most of them from developing countries. No data about increase of downloads from particular areas.
8. Mostly from university publication.
9. There has been an increase in usage from Europe more than other areas of the world. Microbiology, physics, engineering and anthropology are large areas of usage, but trends are difficult to see.
10. Textbooks. Security and Terrorism Apps.
11. Top ten countries outside the US who download content: Philippines, India, Malaysia, United Kingdom, Nigeria, Canada, South Africa, Australia, Singapore, Pakistan.
12. Downloads are increasing in all subject areas and from all regions of the world. The highest increase rates are with developing countries, followed by other foreign countries.
13. See the stats for yourself: go to <http://eprints.utas.edu.au>.
14. Downloads are increasing across the repository, as it becomes better known.
15. Increasing in the fields of education and health sciences.
16. It's too soon to tell if there are any trends.
17. It's hard to put a finger on a trend. There has probably been an increasing number from China and Latin America, but it hasn't been hugely noticeable. There has been a steady increase in referrals from Google Scholar at the expense of google.com.

**Table 15.1 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads?**

|               | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 45.45%     | 54.55%    |

**Table 15.2 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Public                | 45.45%     | 54.55%    |
| Private               | 55.56%     | 44.44%    |

**Table 15.3 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| 0-5,000           | 44.44%     | 55.56%    |
| 5,001-25,000      | 45.45%     | 54.55%    |
| 25,001+           | 54.55%     | 45.45%    |

**Table 15.4 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 44.44%     | 55.56%    |
| PhD-granting                     | 100.00%    | 0.00%     |
| Research University              | 40.00%     | 60.00%    |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |

**Table 15.5 Do authors have a user interface to track downloads? Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| USA            | 38.10%     | 61.90%    |
| Other          | 58.33%     | 41.67%    |

**Table 16.1 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? (ie - downloads, pages viewed, site visits, etc)**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 56.47% | 30.00% | 5.00%   | 300.00% |

**Table 16.2 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 43.44% | 29.00% | 5.00%   | 125.00% |
| Private        | 31.50% | 32.50% | 11.00%  | 50.00%  |

**Table 16.3 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 13.00% | 13.00% | 11.00%  | 15.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 50.00% | 50.00% | 50.00%  | 50.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 44.10% | 39.50% | 5.00%   | 125.00% |

**Table 16.4 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean    | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.33%  | 15.00%  | 11.00%  | 125.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 5.00%   | 5.00%   | 5.00%   | 5.00%   |
| Research University              | 44.50%  | 50.00%  | 5.00%   | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 165.00% | 165.00% | 30.00%  | 300.00% |

**Table 16.5 In the past year what has been the growth rate in the overall use of the digital repository measured by the indicator most useful to you in your personal planning? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 69.11% | 50.00% | 5.00%   | 300.00% |
| Other   | 37.50% | 20.00% | 5.00%   | 125.00% |

**Describe your philosophy on how you compile, distribute and publicize your repository download statistics? How detailed is your data? How great an effort do you make to get them out to authors? To end users? How do they promote the interests of your institution?**

1. We have limited access to detailed statistics. Our statistics are available for authors to view, but at this time we have no mechanism for actively sending out that information. This is high on our priority list.
2. Data is not very detailed or full as yet as the repository has not yet been marketed. Statistics are provided to the project board at regular meetings and will be involved in the overall university strategy for next year.
3. Shown on the pages of search items.
4. Detail: Brief and full page view, downloads and click-throughs (Link to publisher's website which has been clicked – useful when author has not supplied a file). These are reported by country of origin. Each file in the IR has this data. In the case of several faculties, a bi-monthly report is supplied with any staff who had a publication listed in "What's Hot!": the top 20 downloads for the past 60 days.
5. To be frank, even though our IR has been in existence for 3 years, it has only been visible since mid-2012. And we haven't been looking at statistics – yet.
6. We do not distribute our statistics. They are compiled by a single staff member and used internally.
7. Until recently, we only reported ARL-level repository statistics, based more on content than usage. In the past year, we have made a concerted effort to report usage. Authors have access to their own download statistics within their account. When we have completed the purchase of the necessary number of searches/downloads, we will display download statistics of faculty scholarship on each resource. The exponential growth of usage of the repository has occurred even before we have utilized statistics to make our case.
8. We provide statistics on our webpages and our blog every month. We provide more detailed statistics to faculties and staff members as needed.
9. I answer questions from faculty about download statistics on request. I do not promote this to end users. We have not formally defined a philosophy for repository statistics.
10. Seat-of-the-pants and qualitative, not quantitative. The goal is to promote the repository's existence internally and broaden its use.
11. Our team tries to maintain our users informed about the institutional repositories by our blog, but also through the statistics of the repository. They can consult in any time the documents statistics, which is really important to them. We provide downloads and views statistics for each document, such as the places they come from. We send information to all the PhD students that present dissertation. Also, we try to approach every researcher through the liaison librarians, and also through mail that we send to each department. It is a constant effort, because although many of them

- have heard about the repository, they do not know how it can benefit them. Our marketing to end users is mainly through the social tools.
12. We're just using Google Analytics at this point - we haven't really dived into getting the most out of the reports yet.
  13. Need better statistics.
  14. They are reported as part of general library usage statistics. We don't have a good method for reporting repository statistics to our community.
  15. We compile everything that we can get our hands on really. At first, data was not detailed because we were new and had more of assumptions made on others data. Now we are able to show with our own figures. We use our figures in every presentation, try to present them within each meeting or intro to the site, produce annual report and make public. Global outreach is shown by where our items are being used, partnerships are being built with digital creations and outreach from international researchers.
  16. We are still in a developing phase and keep the statistics internal. But we are planning to make them available for the public.
  17. Built into interface. DSpace provides this data by default in 'View Item' pages.
  18. There is an automated system by the repository.
  19. A new method of gathering statistics has just been implemented. No thought has been given yet on whether to disseminate the stats.
  20. Available globally. Contributes to University ranking.
  21. Automated reports are sent to authors; a comprehensive annual report is provided to university administration
  22. We are very modest and don't publicize our repository statistics beyond a link to the Top 10.
  23. We don't distribute this data.
  24. We use sitemeter.com We are also working on the improvement of the DSpace internal statistics functionality.
  25. We use the defaults provided by our software (DSpace). We do not have the IT support to implement additional assessment statistics. (Working on that.)
  26. Just beginning to formulate a strategy for statistics.
  27. Compiled in Excel spreadsheet; distributed to library administration.
  28. We haven't distributed or publicized our download stats much yet.
  29. We did compile lists of the most downloaded documents in order to increase faculty interest in the IR. Faculty seemed only mildly interested in the data. Impact factor would probably be a much more useful metric for them and as we get more research into the IR, we will try to measure that.

## **CHAPTER 5: Digital Repository as Publisher**

**Table 17.1 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use?**

|               | Yes    | No     | No, but we are planning something along these lines |
|---------------|--------|--------|---|
| Entire sample | 12.12% | 72.73% | 15.15%  |

**Table 17.2 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     | No, but we are planning something along these lines |
|----------------|--------|--------|---|
| Public         | 18.18% | 68.18% | 13.64%  |
| Private        | 0.00%  | 88.89% | 11.11%  |

**Table 17.3 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     | No, but we are planning something along these lines |
|--------------|--------|--------|---|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00%  | 88.89% | 11.11%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 9.09%  | 72.73% | 18.18%  |
| 25,001+      | 27.27% | 63.64% | 9.09%   |

**Table 17.4 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     | No, but we are planning something along these lines |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 5.56%  | 83.33% | 11.11%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 66.67% | 33.33%  |
| Research University              | 30.00% | 60.00% | 10.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 50.00% | 50.00%  |

**Table 17.5 Has your digital repository taken measures to become a publisher in its own right, developing branded information collections of scholarly materials to market to the outside world or for internal use? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     | No, but we are planning something along these lines |
|---------|--------|--------|---|
| USA     | 14.29% | 71.43% | 14.29%  |
| Other   | 8.33%  | 75.00% | 16.67%  |

**Table 18.1 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network?**

|               | Yes   | No     | No, but we are considering this |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 81.82% | 15.15%                          |

**Table 18.2 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes   | No     | No, but we are considering this |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Public         | 4.55% | 81.82% | 13.64%                          |
| Private        | 0.00% | 88.89% | 11.11%                          |

**Table 18.3 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes   | No     | No, but we are considering this |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00% | 88.89% | 11.11%                          |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00% | 72.73% | 27.27%                          |
| 25,001+      | 9.09% | 90.91% | 0.00%                           |

**Table 18.4 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No     | No, but we are considering this |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.00%  | 83.33% | 16.67%                          |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 66.67% | 33.33%                          |
| Research University              | 10.00% | 90.00% | 0.00%                           |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 50.00% | 50.00%                          |

**Table 18.5 Has the repository, alone or in concert with others, established any form of peer review network? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No     | No, but we are considering this |
|---------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| USA     | 4.76% | 85.71% | 9.52%                           |
| Other   | 0.00% | 75.00% | 25.00%                          |

**Table 19.1 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?**

|               | Yes   | No     | No, but we are thinking of doing this |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Entire sample | 3.03% | 93.94% | 3.03%                                 |

**Table 19.2 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes   | No      | No, but we are thinking of doing this |
|----------------|-------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| Public         | 4.55% | 90.91%  | 4.55%                                 |
| Private        | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00%                                 |

**Table 19.3 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes   | No      | No, but we are thinking of doing this |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00%                                 |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.00% | 90.91%  | 9.09%                                 |
| 25,001+      | 9.09% | 90.91%  | 0.00%                                 |

**Table 19.4 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      | No, but we are thinking of doing this |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.00%  | 94.44%  | 5.56%                                 |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% | 0.00%                                 |
| Research University              | 10.00% | 90.00%  | 0.00%                                 |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% | 0.00%                                 |

**Table 19.5 Does the repository send out any proposed articles to a peer review network maintained by the repository or by one or more academic institutions?  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes   | No     | No, but we are thinking of doing this |
|---------|-------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| USA     | 4.76% | 95.24% | 0.00%                                 |
| Other   | 0.00% | 91.67% | 8.33%                                 |

**Table 20.1 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 21.21% | 78.79% |

**Table 20.2 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 22.73% | 77.27% |
| Private        | 22.22% | 77.78% |

**Table 20.3 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Yes    | No     |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22% | 77.78% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| 25,001+      | 18.18% | 81.82% |

**Table 20.4 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Yes    | No      |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 22.22% | 77.78%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 30.00% | 70.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 20.5 Does the repository have an e-publishing program through which it publishes monographs or books in either a print or digital format (or both) that might not have been initially published elsewhere? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Yes    | No     |
|---------|--------|--------|
| USA     | 28.57% | 71.43% |
| Other   | 8.33%  | 91.67% |

**Table 21.1 Does the repository publish any open access journals?**

|               | Yes    | No     |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Entire sample | 27.27% | 72.73% |

**Table 21.2 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Yes    | No     |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Public         | 27.27% | 72.73% |
| Private        | 33.33% | 66.67% |

**Table 21.3 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| 0-5,000           | 33.33%     | 66.67%    |
| 5,001-25,000      | 27.27%     | 72.73%    |
| 25,001+           | 27.27%     | 72.73%    |

**Table 21.4 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 27.78%     | 72.22%    |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |
| Research University              | 40.00%     | 60.00%    |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%      | 100.00%   |

**Table 21.5 Does the repository publish any open access journals? Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>No</b> |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| USA            | 38.10%     | 61.90%    |
| Other          | 8.33%      | 91.67%    |

**Describe the repository's attitude towards becoming an actual publisher. Does it plan to publish its own open access journals? Has it taken measures to organize peer review councils or editorial staff or other measures associated with academic publishing?**

1. We are partnered with the Texas Digital Library (TDL). TDL hosts OJS installations for anyone on campus. We have a couple groups on campus who are using OJS and we archive their back issues in the IR.
2. No plans and unlikely as it is a small university and a small team working on the repository.
3. The University has its own e-press so the IR would not duplicate this.
4. Possibly.
5. No.
6. Publishing is of interest to us but until we have some organizational changes and a funding source for all elements of publishing is identified, publishing is not going to be undertaken. Any publishing venture we would undertake would be OA.
7. The repository does not publish the Libraries' open access journals. That is done through a separate application. We have a standard Memorandum of Agreement that dictates the responsibilities of the editors, the Libraries administration, and the journal platform technical staff. Editors are responsible for articulating and structuring the peer review policies of their own journals.
8. I do not know at this time, maybe in the near future.
9. We have no plans to become a publisher in our own right.
10. The library has been publishing open access journals since prior to 1990. It currently provides access to about 3 dozen open access journal titles. Peer review is handled by the editorial boards for each journal. The library is also a founding member of the Library Publishing Coalition (<http://educopia.org/programs/lpc>).
11. Too soon to tell. Faculty research load is under review and may grow.
12. No, the repository only admits publications that are published before.
13. Our University press was shut down a few years ago, so I think our Library may have a role to play in publishing in the future. Right now we're just watching to see how publishing efforts at other institutions play out.
14. Yes we are very interested in expanding our offering of the repository (and related OJS software) for open publishing. We are engaging with the University's digital strategy to achieve this.
15. Main goal. Publishing 12 open access journals with two more in wings and one on hold. Push to support all open access journals on campus. Peer review required but is managed by individual journals.
16. We are planning to publish an open access journal together with a research group of Forschungszentrum Jülich.

17. The repository plans to serve as a publisher in the near future. Organization of peer review councils and editorial staffs will be left to the existing student or faculty (group or individual). We know these requests will come to the repository in the future.
18. No plans for becoming an open access publisher.
19. The repository has no plans to become a publisher.
20. No.
21. Each of the journals that we publish has its own editors and editorial board and uses a peer review process for review of submitted manuscripts.
22. We are part of the fledgling Library Publishing Coalition.
23. We are not interested in becoming a publisher.
24. We have only one journal and several conference proceedings. Departments/societies do all editorial work. The repository receives a manuscript ready for publication.
25. Our library is considering becoming an actual publisher. The repository would be involved, but we are not sure how. The library already helps publish a couple of OA journals, and we'd like to expand this.
26. Yes we plan to, but we have not organized yet.
27. Publishes open access journal; will publish for university community.
28. We have discussed publishing journals for campus editors, but we haven't taken any steps to organize an effort yet.
29. The IR would be happy to assist faculty in launching an OA journal, but we are going to allow faculty to take the lead in that. There hasn't been much interest thus far.

## **CHAPTER 6: Budget and Staff Time for the Digital Repository**

**Table 22.1 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing (in US dollars)?**

|               | Mean         | Median      | Minimum | Maximum        |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Entire sample | \$131,840.91 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00  | \$1,000,000.00 |

**Table 22.2 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean         | Median      | Minimum | Maximum        |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Public         | \$183,964.29 | \$46,250.00 | \$0.00  | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Private        | \$12,500.00  | \$14,000.00 | \$0.00  | \$26,000.00    |

**Table 22.3 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean         | Median      | Minimum | Maximum        |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| 0-5,000      | \$9,800.00   | \$8,000.00  | \$0.00  | \$20,000.00    |
| 5,001-25,000 | \$144,250.00 | \$28,000.00 | \$0.00  | \$1,000,000.00 |
| 25,001+      | \$206,785.71 | \$55,000.00 | \$0.00  | \$850,000.00   |

**Table 22.4 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean         | Median       | Minimum      | Maximum        |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | \$15,950.00  | \$5,500.00   | \$0.00       | \$65,000.00    |
| PhD-granting                     | \$368,333.33 | \$55,000.00  | \$50,000.00  | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Research University              | \$198,000.00 | \$30,000.00  | \$0.00       | \$850,000.00   |
| Non-higher education institution | \$125,000.00 | \$125,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$150,000.00   |

**Table 22.5 How much has the college or library allocated for the annual budget of the digital repository, its operation and marketing? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean         | Median      | Minimum | Maximum        |
|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| USA     | \$166,142.86 | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00  | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Other   | \$71,812.50  | \$46,250.00 | \$0.00  | \$250,000.00   |

**Table 23 What was the rate of change in spending on the digital repository in the following years:**

**Table 23.1.1 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 5.39% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 70.00%  |

**Table 23.1.2 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 1.42%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Private        | 17.50% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 70.00%  |

**Table 23.1.3 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 23.33% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 70.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 1.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 5.00%   |
| 25,001+      | 1.50%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 23.1.4 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 10.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 70.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Research University              | 2.83%  | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 5.00%  | 5.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 23.1.5 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2012-13 Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 6.42% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 70.00%  |
| Other   | 3.33% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 23.2.1 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated)**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 6.28% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 65.00%  |

**Table 23.2.2 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated) Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 1.50%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Private        | 16.25% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 65.00%  |

**Table 23.2.3 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated)  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0-5,000           | 21.67%      | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 65.00%         |
| 5,001-25,000      | 1.60%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 5.00%          |
| 25,001+           | 1.25%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 10.00%         |

**Table 23.2.4 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated)  
Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 9.71%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 65.00%         |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 0.00%          |
| Research University              | 2.50%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 10.00%         |
| Non-higher education institution | 15.00%      | 15.00%        | 0.00%          | 30.00%         |

**Table 23.2.5 Rate of change in spending on the digital repository in 2013-14 (anticipated)  
Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| USA            | 6.08%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 65.00%         |
| Other          | 6.67%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 30.00%         |

**Table 24.1 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? (One full time person would be about 1800 man hours per year)**

|               | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 2,926.90 | 2,000.00 | 150.00  | 22,000.00 |

**Table 24.2 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Public         | 3,611.50 | 2,350.00 | 180.00  | 22,000.00 |
| Private        | 1,556.00 | 1,500.00 | 150.00  | 3,180.00  |

**Table 24.3 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|--------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| 0-5,000      | 2,013.78 | 624.00   | 150.00  | 9,000.00  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 1,846.00 | 1,900.00 | 180.00  | 3,600.00  |
| 25,001+      | 4,965.00 | 3,200.00 | 450.00  | 22,000.00 |

**Table 24.4 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean     | Median   | Minimum  | Maximum   |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 1,906.50 | 1,200.00 | 150.00   | 9,000.00  |
| PhD-granting                     | 2,833.33 | 2,700.00 | 1,800.00 | 4,000.00  |
| Research University              | 4,723.00 | 2,590.00 | 450.00   | 22,000.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 2,250.00 | 2,250.00 | 1,800.00 | 2,700.00  |

**Table 24.5 Approximately how many man hours per year of librarian and librarian technician labor is required to operate and market the digital repository? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| USA     | 2,705.20 | 1,650.00 | 180.00  | 22,000.00 |
| Other   | 3,330.00 | 2,800.00 | 150.00  | 9,000.00  |

**Table 25 What percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository come from the following sources:**

**Table 25.1.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from the Library**

|               | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 96.03% | 100.00% | 80.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 25.1.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from the Library Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 95.26% | 100.00% | 85.00%  | 100.00% |
| Private        | 96.78% | 100.00% | 80.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 25.1.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from the Library Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 95.89% | 100.00% | 80.00%  | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 97.56% | 100.00% | 90.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 94.00% | 92.50%  | 85.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 25.1.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from the Library Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean    | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 96.53%  | 100.00% | 80.00%  | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 90.00%  | 90.00%  | 90.00%  | 90.00%  |
| Research University              | 96.30%  | 99.00%  | 90.00%  | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |

**Table 25.1.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from the Library Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 98.05% | 100.00% | 90.00%  | 100.00% |
| Other   | 92.55% | 90.00%  | 80.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 25.2.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Academic Departments**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 0.70% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 25.2.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 1.05% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Private        | 0.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |

**Table 25.2.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 1.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |
| 25,001+      | 1.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 25.2.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.67% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Research University              | 1.10% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 25.2.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Academic Departments Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 0.53% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Other   | 1.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 25.3.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 3.17% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%  |

**Table 25.3.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 3.68% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 15.00%  |
| Private        | 2.78% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%  |

**Table 25.3.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 2.78% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 2.22% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 5.00% | 2.50%  | 0.00%   | 15.00%  |

**Table 25.3.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 2.67%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 10.00% | 10.00% | 10.00%  | 10.00%  |
| Research University              | 2.50%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 25.3.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Information Technology Oriented Administrative Departments Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 1.32% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| Other   | 6.36% | 5.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%  |

**Table 25.4.1 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 0.10% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 2.00%   |

**Table 25.4.2 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Private        | 0.33% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 2.00%   |

**Table 25.4.3 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.22% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 2.00%   |
| 5,001-25,000 | 0.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |
| 25,001+      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 25.4.4 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.13% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 2.00%   |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Research University              | 0.10% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 25.4.5 Percentage of the overall skilled labor needed to operate the depository coming from Other Departments or Entities Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 0.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 2.00%   |
| Other   | 0.09% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |

**Briefly comment on your plans for staffing the repository. Will you increase staff? Hold it constant? Can you get more productivity by bringing in experts from other departments to pay specific roles? Use outside consultants? Or better software to other tools to make it easier for faculty and staff to use the repository without staff assistance?**

1. Hold it constant.
2. A new member of the staff has been engaged recently to work in the library with building the IR their main, but not only, project. There is not the budget or availability of other staff for this to change in the foreseeable future.
3. Hope to increase staff by one staff member. (Faculty do not deposit publications directly into the IR.)
4. Will probably change as we grow larger.
5. It will stay the same.
6. Staffing will increase in the next year; the number and roles of those people are under advisement. All the repository expertise is in the library; bringing in outside consultants might be helpful but our primary need is better trained and dedicated staff.
7. We have no plans to increase staffing for the repository. We will be monitoring the initial implementation of the University's Open Access Policy later this year, to determine if we need additional resources to manage an increase in faculty scholarship added to the repository.
8. Increase staff.
9. We intend to keep the repository at its current level.
10. Staffing will continue to increase. There have been long relationships with academic departments with expertise in digital libraries. They do not provide direct support to the repository, but sometimes students propose and develop modular applications for classes that can be used by the repository.
11. Better software tools and hosted solutions that don't require IT resources internally. Professional staff is currently fixed at 1 FTE. Hoping to use MLIS internships to supplement.
12. The repository staff is 4 people not in full time. There is not a possibility of total dedication for the repository. We have some help from some professionals of the university for legal matters and also some self-archive activity from academics. Also liaison librarians help with the marketing and also some of the introduction of content. All the journals of the university are in the repository, but it is the library's job to introduce the articles. We would like to increase staff, but it is not possible now. The technical maintenance is subcontracted.
13. Using other library staff now to help enter data; not much administrative support.

14. We plan to include a metadata librarian position in the current year to deal with research metadata which is increasing rapidly. We are also taking on extra research services roles (ERA, Audit, etc) which will require more resources in 2014.
15. Would like to increase and include director. We rely on our authors for copy editing as well and would love to include this service.
16. As the repository continues to increase in popularity, we will look for new ways to add staff - primarily to add content to the repository, and allow the manager to spend more time in outreach and growth activities.
17. We will increase staff.
18. One new professional has been hired who has the repository as a significant component of the position. There is a need for additional staffing.
19. Staffing will remain constant at 1 FTE.
20. Stable.
21. We would like to increase staff, but will likely not for a while.
22. We will devote 3 technology developers (perhaps 2 FTE) to migrate from our original/legacy DSpace repository to a Fedora based system over the next 3 years.
23. We cannot increase staff. In the future I would like to change one of our positions to include a systems component that can help in this area.
24. We have hired a metadata cataloger: 25% of her responsibilities will go to the institutional repository.
25. We would like to increase staff. We are currently working on a program statement for the department of which the IR is part; staffing planning will follow.
26. Staff will not increase; currently two full-time people (who have other responsibilities), three student workers, other library staff as needed
27. Staffing will increase. We are looking at software options as well..
28. Would love to get a dedicated staffer for this (it's one of many responsibilities for the person now responsible for it), but increasing library staff is definitely not in the college's plans at the moment.

## **CHAPTER 7: Faculty Cooperation with the Digital Repository**

**Table 26.1 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository?**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 19.27% | 3.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 26.2 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 17.15% | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 95.00%  |
| Private        | 21.38% | 3.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 26.3 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 9.43%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 60.00%  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 29.57% | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 17.29% | 9.00%  | 1.00%   | 50.00%  |

**Table 26.4 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 7.70%  | 0.50%  | 0.00%   | 60.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 32.00% | 45.00% | 1.00%   | 50.00%  |
| Research University              | 27.63% | 7.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 30.00% | 30.00% | 30.00%  | 30.00%  |

**Table 26.5 Approximately what percentage of the journal articles published by your faculty are archived in any form in the institutional repository? Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 12.33% | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 95.00%  |
| Other   | 34.14% | 30.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Describe how you have gone about trying to assure faculty participation in the digital repository program. What methods have you used? Can you advise your peers on best practices in gaining faculty awareness and acceptance?**

1. Our approach varies based on who we are speaking with. In general, we emphasize the value of increased audience and long-term preservation.
2. Project board includes academic staff. Moodle page set up to share resources relating to the repository. More to be done during training and marketing stages to be implemented this year.
3. Check publishers' conditions, then email relevant authors, indicating that they are allowed to have one or more publications in the IR. IR staff does the copyright checking and do not expect academics to do this.
4. Still working on this.
5. We're in a soft sell stage at this time, relying on word of mouth.
6. We have worked with our University Senate to pass an Open Access Policy which will be implemented later this year. Comprehensive faculty awareness will only occur when this is the norm in higher education, and not viewed as leading-edge or experimental.
7. Direct conversations with faculty on the uses and implementation of the pilot IR.
8. Using the repository for Research Assessment purposes has raised awareness significantly.
9. Direct consultation, workshops.
10. Participation from the academics is really different according to the subjects. Statistics are really useful to attracting users, but it is also important to show that the other departments of the university have many documents on the IR. This fact helps for those who see that maybe the other departments are more important than them, because they have more documents.
11. Our approach is through emails from the top down (from the Vice Chancellor, Pro Vice chancellors, Deans and Faculty heads). Also through publicity and meetings, conferences, etc.
12. Grass seed. Throw it everywhere and see what grows. Don't be too selective on your material - if it is scholarly it should qualify. Closing doors to materials will close doors to future projects. Find out what they need and see how you can support that instead of trying to "force feed" your particular services, especially for those just starting. Do a really good job with one and he/she will tell another.
13. Support from deans and chairs, individual contact, group contact.
14. We reduce the labor on their side as much as we can. The best thing would be a mandate.
15. None.
16. We do not ask for faculty participation. We discover content from multi-disciplinary databases, CVs, and departmental paper-tracking systems.
17. Mandatory, required for reporting purposes.

18. Largely through instructional seminars and one-on-one conversations, with some higher administrative level discussions.
19. We have not worked on this. We concentrate on student theses.
20. We create the linked metadata to faculty research. Faculty members do not participate in it. All information is collected by librarians.
21. Direct outreach; word of mouth. Face to face contact with small groups is proving crucial.
22. Liaison librarians are recruiting faculty participation. This is in its very early stages so we cannot advise others.
23. Information about copyright, author's rights, and exactly what the repository will do with the material.
24. We have visited faculty meetings in various departments on campus and have talked about the repository. That approach is starting to pay off.
25. The previous number is very hard to measure. We have for the past few months been working to get old faculty research into the IR in order to pave the way for the passage of an OA policy. This includes researching faculty pubs, determining whether it may be archived and in what form, contacting the faculty member for permission and documents if necessary, and uploading the document. This has only been possible because we are a small institution that doesn't produce a ton of research. It has built goodwill if nothing else. We are hoping that it will increase compliance with the OA policy, but it is still too early to judge.

**Table 27 Please rank the following academic departments or group of departments as contributors to the digital repository:**

**Table 27.1.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments**

|               | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Entire sample | 27.27%                          | 27.27%                    | 30.30%                         | 15.15%                   |

**Table 27.1.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Public                | 36.36%                          | 18.18%                    | 27.27%                         | 18.18%                   |
| Private               | 11.11%                          | 55.56%                    | 33.33%                         | 0.00%                    |

**Table 27.1.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0-5,000           | 22.22%                          | 33.33%                    | 44.44%                         | 0.00%                    |
| 5,001-25,000      | 45.45%                          | 18.18%                    | 18.18%                         | 18.18%                   |
| 25,001+           | 18.18%                          | 36.36%                    | 27.27%                         | 18.18%                   |

**Table 27.1.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 33.33%                          | 27.78%                    | 33.33%                         | 5.56%                    |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%                           | 33.33%                    | 33.33%                         | 33.33%                   |
| Research University              | 30.00%                          | 30.00%                    | 20.00%                         | 20.00%                   |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%                           | 0.00%                     | 50.00%                         | 50.00%                   |

**Table 27.1.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Medical and Biological Science departments Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| USA            | 33.33%                          | 28.57%                    | 23.81%                         | 14.29%                   |
| Other          | 16.67%                          | 25.00%                    | 41.67%                         | 16.67%                   |

**Table 27.2.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department**

|               | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Entire sample | 36.36%                          | 45.45%                    | 12.12%                         | 6.06%                    |

**Table 27.2.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 36.36%                   | 45.45%             | 9.09%                   | 9.09%             |
| Private        | 33.33%                   | 55.56%             | 11.11%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.2.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33%                   | 55.56%             | 11.11%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 45.45%                   | 27.27%             | 18.18%                  | 9.09%             |
| 25,001+      | 27.27%                   | 63.64%             | 0.00%                   | 9.09%             |

**Table 27.2.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 38.89%                   | 44.44%             | 16.67%                  | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%                    | 66.67%             | 0.00%                   | 33.33%            |
| Research University              | 40.00%                   | 50.00%             | 0.00%                   | 10.00%            |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00%                   | 0.00%              | 50.00%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.2.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Chemistry department  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 42.86%                   | 47.62%             | 4.76%                   | 4.76%             |
| Other   | 25.00%                   | 41.67%             | 25.00%                  | 8.33%             |

**Table 27.3.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department**

|               | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Entire sample | 30.30%                   | 48.48%             | 12.12%                  | 9.09%             |

**Table 27.3.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 36.36%                   | 45.45%             | 9.09%                   | 9.09%             |
| Private        | 11.11%                   | 66.67%             | 11.11%                  | 11.11%            |

**Table 27.3.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 22.22%                   | 66.67%             | 11.11%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 45.45%                   | 27.27%             | 9.09%                   | 18.18%            |
| 25,001+      | 18.18%                   | 63.64%             | 9.09%                   | 9.09%             |

**Table 27.3.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 33.33%                   | 55.56%             | 11.11%                  | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%                    | 66.67%             | 0.00%                   | 33.33%            |
| Research University              | 30.00%                   | 40.00%             | 10.00%                  | 20.00%            |
| Non-higher education institution | 50.00%                   | 0.00%              | 50.00%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.3.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Physics department  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 38.10%                   | 52.38%             | 4.76%                   | 4.76%             |
| Other   | 16.67%                   | 41.67%             | 25.00%                  | 16.67%            |

**Table 27.4.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department**

|               | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Entire sample | 51.52%                   | 33.33%             | 9.09%                   | 6.06%             |

**Table 27.4.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department  
Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 54.55%                   | 31.82%             | 9.09%                   | 4.55%             |
| Private        | 33.33%                   | 44.44%             | 11.11%                  | 11.11%            |

**Table 27.4.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department  
Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33%                   | 55.56%             | 11.11%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 72.73%                   | 18.18%             | 0.00%                   | 9.09%             |
| 25,001+      | 36.36%                   | 36.36%             | 18.18%                  | 9.09%             |

**Table 27.4.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department  
Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.00%                   | 44.44%             | 5.56%                   | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33%                   | 66.67%             | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |
| Research University              | 50.00%                   | 10.00%             | 20.00%                  | 20.00%            |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%                  | 0.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.4.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Literature department  
Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 61.90%                   | 23.81%             | 9.52%                   | 4.76%             |
| Other   | 33.33%                   | 50.00%             | 8.33%                   | 8.33%             |

**Table 27.5.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments**

|               | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Entire sample | 54.55%                   | 30.30%             | 15.15%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.5.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 59.09%                   | 27.27%             | 13.64%                  | 0.00%             |
| Private        | 33.33%                   | 44.44%             | 22.22%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.5.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33%                   | 44.44%             | 22.22%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 72.73%                   | 18.18%             | 9.09%                   | 0.00%             |
| 25,001+      | 45.45%                   | 36.36%             | 18.18%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.5.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.00%                   | 33.33%             | 16.67%                  | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 66.67%                   | 33.33%             | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |
| Research University              | 50.00%                   | 30.00%             | 20.00%                  | 0.00%             |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%                  | 0.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.5.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Art, Architecture and Music departments Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 66.67%                   | 19.05%             | 14.29%                  | 0.00%             |
| Other   | 33.33%                   | 50.00%             | 16.67%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.6.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments**

|               | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Entire sample | 42.42%                   | 33.33%             | 24.24%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.6.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 36.36%                   | 36.36%             | 27.27%                  | 0.00%             |
| Private        | 44.44%                   | 33.33%             | 22.22%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.6.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 44.44%                   | 33.33%             | 22.22%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 54.55%                   | 27.27%             | 18.18%                  | 0.00%             |
| 25,001+      | 18.18%                   | 45.45%             | 36.36%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.6.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 44.44%                   | 27.78%             | 27.78%                  | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%                    | 66.67%             | 33.33%                  | 0.00%             |
| Research University              | 40.00%                   | 40.00%             | 20.00%                  | 0.00%             |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%                  | 0.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.6.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Economics and Business departments Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 52.38%                   | 33.33%             | 14.29%                  | 0.00%             |
| Other   | 25.00%                   | 33.33%             | 41.67%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.7.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments**

|  | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|

|               |        |        |        |       |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Entire sample | 30.30% | 48.48% | 21.21% | 0.00% |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|

**Table 27.7.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 31.82%                   | 54.55%             | 13.64%                  | 0.00%             |
| Private        | 11.11%                   | 44.44%             | 44.44%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.7.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33%                   | 33.33%             | 33.33%                  | 0.00%             |
| 5,001-25,000 | 36.36%                   | 36.36%             | 27.27%                  | 0.00%             |
| 25,001+      | 9.09%                    | 81.82%             | 9.09%                   | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.7.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 33.33%                   | 44.44%             | 22.22%                  | 0.00%             |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33%                   | 66.67%             | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |
| Research University              | 10.00%                   | 60.00%             | 30.00%                  | 0.00%             |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%                  | 0.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.7.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Psychology, Sociology, and Political Science departments Broken out by Country**

| Country | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| USA     | 33.33%                   | 47.62%             | 19.05%                  | 0.00%             |
| Other   | 25.00%                   | 50.00%             | 25.00%                  | 0.00%             |

**Table 27.8.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department**

|               | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Entire sample | 45.45%                   | 39.39%             | 12.12%                  | 3.03%             |

**Table 27.8.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Not really a contributor | Modest contributor | Significant contributor | Heavy contributor |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Public         | 50.00%                   | 36.36%             | 13.64%                  | 0.00%             |
| Private        | 22.22%                   | 55.56%             | 11.11%                  | 11.11%            |

**Table 27.8.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment | Not really a | Modest | Significant | Heavy |
|------------|--------------|--------|-------------|-------|
|------------|--------------|--------|-------------|-------|

|              | <b>contributor</b> | <b>contributor</b> | <b>contributor</b> | <b>contributor</b> |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0-5,000      | 33.33%             | 55.56%             | 11.11%             | 0.00%              |
| 5,001-25,000 | 54.55%             | 27.27%             | 9.09%              | 9.09%              |
| 25,001+      | 36.36%             | 45.45%             | 18.18%             | 0.00%              |

**Table 27.8.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.00%                          | 38.89%                    | 11.11%                         | 0.00%                    |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33%                          | 66.67%                    | 0.00%                          | 0.00%                    |
| Research University              | 30.00%                          | 40.00%                    | 20.00%                         | 10.00%                   |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%                         | 0.00%                     | 0.00%                          | 0.00%                    |

**Table 27.8.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Anthropology and Archaeology department Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| USA            | 47.62%                          | 42.86%                    | 9.52%                          | 0.00%                    |
| Other          | 41.67%                          | 33.33%                    | 16.67%                         | 8.33%                    |

**Table 27.9.1 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department**

|               | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Entire sample | 60.61%                          | 27.27%                    | 9.09%                          | 3.03%                    |

**Table 27.9.2 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Public                | 50.00%                          | 31.82%                    | 13.64%                         | 4.55%                    |
| Private               | 77.78%                          | 22.22%                    | 0.00%                          | 0.00%                    |

**Table 27.9.3 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0-5,000           | 77.78%                          | 22.22%                    | 0.00%                          | 0.00%                    |
| 5,001-25,000      | 63.64%                          | 18.18%                    | 9.09%                          | 9.09%                    |
| 25,001+           | 36.36%                          | 45.45%                    | 18.18%                         | 0.00%                    |

**Table 27.9.4 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>         | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BA/MA-granting      | 66.67%                          | 27.78%                    | 5.56%                          | 0.00%                    |
| PhD-granting        | 33.33%                          | 66.67%                    | 0.00%                          | 0.00%                    |
| Research University | 50.00%                          | 20.00%                    | 20.00%                         | 10.00%                   |

|                                  |         |       |       |       |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|

**Table 27.9.5 Level of contribution to the digital repository from the Law department Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Not really a contributor</b> | <b>Modest contributor</b> | <b>Significant contributor</b> | <b>Heavy contributor</b> |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| USA            | 80.95%                          | 9.52%                     | 4.76%                          | 4.76%                    |
| Other          | 25.00%                          | 58.33%                    | 16.67%                         | 0.00%                    |

## **CHAPTER 8: Content in the Digital Repository**

**Table 28** How many of the following individual items does the library have in its digital repository:

**Table 28.1.1** Number of Books in the digital depository

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Entire sample | 551.46 | 47.50  | 0.00    | 4,782.00 |

**Table 28.1.2** Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Public         | 670.94 | 47.50  | 0.00    | 4,782.00 |
| Private        | 330.00 | 73.50  | 0.00    | 1,533.00 |

**Table 28.1.3** Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| 0-5,000      | 82.00  | 6.00   | 0.00    | 300.00   |
| 5,001-25,000 | 699.44 | 50.00  | 0.00    | 4,000.00 |
| 25,001+      | 974.33 | 172.50 | 5.00    | 4,782.00 |

**Table 28.1.4** Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Type

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 460.15 | 127.00 | 0.00    | 4,000.00 |
| PhD-granting                     | 22.50  | 22.50  | 0.00    | 45.00    |
| Research University              | 955.43 | 50.00  | 5.00    | 4,782.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 260.00 | 260.00 | 20.00   | 500.00   |

**Table 28.1.5** Number of Books in the digital depository Broken out by Country

| Country | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|---------|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| USA     | 71.31    | 6.50   | 0.00    | 300.00   |
| Other   | 1,511.75 | 603.50 | 45.00   | 4,782.00 |

**Table 28.2.1** Number of Journal articles in the digital depository

|               | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 3,199.32 | 500.00 | 0.00    | 17,838.00 |

**Table 28.2.2** Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private

| Public/Private | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Public         | 2,668.06 | 275.00   | 0.00    | 16,269.00 |
| Private        | 3,184.86 | 1,000.00 | 0.00    | 17,838.00 |

**Table 28.2.3** Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment

| Enrollment   | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|--------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| 0-5,000      | 591.88   | 239.50   | 0.00    | 1,800.00  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 3,056.22 | 250.00   | 0.00    | 17,838.00 |
| 25,001+      | 5,457.00 | 3,956.50 | 50.00   | 16,269.00 |

**Table 28.2.4 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean     | Median   | Minimum  | Maximum   |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 1,390.43 | 235.50   | 0.00     | 7,420.00  |
| PhD-granting                     | 4,130.00 | 4,130.00 | 250.00   | 8,010.00  |
| Research University              | 5,322.43 | 900.00   | 0.00     | 17,838.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 7,500.00 | 7,500.00 | 5,000.00 | 10,000.00 |

**Table 28.2.5 Number of Journal articles in the digital depository Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| USA     | 1,133.63 | 260.50   | 0.00    | 10,000.00 |
| Other   | 6,871.67 | 7,013.00 | 6.00    | 17,838.00 |

**Table 28.3.1 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc in the digital depository**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Entire sample | 777.09 | 50.00  | 0.00    | 6,407.00 |

**Table 28.3.2 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Public         | 648.43 | 30.00  | 0.00    | 6,407.00 |
| Private        | 256.43 | 50.00  | 2.00    | 1,000.00 |

**Table 28.3.3 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|--------------|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| 0-5,000      | 224.13   | 30.00  | 0.00    | 1,000.00 |
| 5,001-25,000 | 98.63    | 2.00   | 0.00    | 700.00   |
| 25,001+      | 1,658.20 | 600.00 | 45.00   | 6,407.00 |

**Table 28.3.4 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean     | Median   | Minimum  | Maximum  |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 156.50   | 12.50    | 0.00     | 1,000.00 |
| PhD-granting                     | 494.50   | 494.50   | 0.00     | 989.00   |
| Research University              | 1,143.71 | 250.00   | 2.00     | 6,407.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 3,500.00 | 3,500.00 | 2,000.00 | 5,000.00 |

**Table 28.3.5 Number of Articles from Non-refereed sources such as magazines, newspapers, etc in the digital depository Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum  |
|---------|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| USA     | 498.53   | 50.00  | 0.00    | 5,000.00 |
| Other   | 1,566.33 | 495.50 | 0.00    | 6,407.00 |

**Table 28.4.1 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository**

|               | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Entire sample | 1,897.62 | 100.00 | 0.00    | 15,000.00 |

**Table 28.4.2 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum   |
|----------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Public         | 2,411.36 | 75.00  | 0.00    | 15,000.00 |
| Private        | 1,015.17 | 550.00 | 0.00    | 3,466.00  |

**Table 28.4.3 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|--------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| 0-5,000      | 375.00   | 25.00    | 0.00    | 1,500.00  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 565.25   | 105.00   | 0.00    | 3,466.00  |
| 25,001+      | 6,540.60 | 5,000.00 | 0.00    | 15,000.00 |

**Table 28.4.4 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean     | Median   | Minimum | Maximum   |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 253.17   | 14.00    | 0.00    | 1,500.00  |
| PhD-granting                     | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00  | 100.00    |
| Research University              | 5,244.57 | 3,466.00 | 0.00    | 15,000.00 |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00    | 0.00      |

**Table 28.4.5 Number of Photographs or digital images in the digital depository Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean     | Median | Minimum | Maximum   |
|---------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| USA     | 2,405.40 | 100.00 | 0.00    | 15,000.00 |
| Other   | 628.17   | 1.50   | 0.00    | 3,466.00  |

**Table 28.5.1 Number of Videos in the digital depository**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 43.00 | 3.00   | 0.00    | 475.00  |

**Table 28.5.2 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 53.36 | 7.50   | 0.00    | 475.00  |
| Private        | 22.60 | 3.00   | 0.00    | 100.00  |

**Table 28.5.3 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 16.57  | 3.00   | 0.00    | 100.00  |
| 5,001-25,000 | 9.71   | 1.00   | 0.00    | 50.00   |
| 25,001+      | 135.20 | 25.00  | 12.00   | 475.00  |

**Table 28.5.4 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.83  | 0.50   | 0.00    | 100.00  |
| PhD-granting                     | 2.00   | 2.00   | 2.00    | 2.00    |
| Research University              | 119.33 | 32.50  | 12.00   | 475.00  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00    | 0.00    |

**Table 28.5.5 Number of Videos in the digital depository Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 54.53 | 3.00   | 0.00    | 475.00  |
| Other   | 8.40  | 3.00   | 0.00    | 25.00   |

**Table 29 What percentage of the books in the institution's digital depository can be described as:**
**Table 29.1.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 73.35% | 99.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 29.1.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 86.81% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 40.00% | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 29.1.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 25.00% | 0.00%   | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 91.50% | 100.00% | 33.00%  | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 84.11% | 97.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 29.1.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 67.90% | 99.50%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 90.00% | 90.00%  | 90.00%  | 90.00%  |
| Research University              | 82.00% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 49.00% | 49.00%  | 0.00%   | 98.00%  |

**Table 29.1.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access prior to or upon publication Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 62.00% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Other   | 94.63% | 98.50%  | 80.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 29.2.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 2.74% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |

**Table 29.2.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 3.88% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| Private        | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 29.2.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| 5,001-25,000 | 4.25% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 3.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 29.2.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 1.10%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |
| PhD-granting                     | 10.00% | 10.00% | 10.00%  | 10.00%  |
| Research University              | 4.10%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.50%  | 0.50%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |

**Table 29.2.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of less than one year Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 2.40% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| Other   | 3.38% | 1.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 29.3.1 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 6.48% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 29.3.2 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 3.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| Private        | 20.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 29.3.3 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 25.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 4.13%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 1.67%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 29.3.4 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Research University              | 3.80%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 33.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.50%  | 0.50%  | 0.00%   | 1.00%   |

**Table 29.3.5 Percentage of the books in digital depository that can be described as Full open access after a waiting period of more than one year Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 8.87% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Other   | 2.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 10.00%  |

**Table 30 What percentage of the journal articles in the digital depository can be described as:**
**Table 30.1.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access**

|               | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 77.29% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 30.1.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 75.83% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 89.88% | 100.00% | 20.00%  | 100.00% |

**Table 30.1.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 88.57% | 100.00% | 20.00%  | 100.00% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 74.78% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| 25,001+      | 79.10% | 97.00%  | 9.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 30.1.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 84.85% | 100.00% | 13.00%  | 100.00% |
| PhD-granting                     | 60.00% | 80.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Research University              | 80.10% | 99.50%  | 3.00%   | 100.00% |
| Non-higher education institution | 40.00% | 40.00%  | 20.00%  | 60.00%  |

**Table 30.1.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Full open access Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean   | Median  | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 77.89% | 100.00% | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Other   | 76.20% | 100.00% | 9.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 30.2.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit**

|               | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 4.17% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 96.00%  |

**Table 30.2.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 6.86% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 96.00%  |
| Private        | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 30.2.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Enrollment**

| Enrollment   | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 0-5,000      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| 5,001-25,000 | 16.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 96.00%  |
| 25,001+      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 30.2.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Type**

| Type                             | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| PhD-granting                     | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |
| Research University              | 12.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 96.00%  |
| Non-higher education institution | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 30.2.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to a page view limit Broken out by Country**

| Country | Mean  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| USA     | 6.86% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 96.00%  |
| Other   | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 0.00%   |

**Table 30.3.1 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions**

|               | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Entire sample | 15.52% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |

**Table 30.3.2 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Public/Private**

| Public/Private | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Public         | 11.14% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 100.00% |
| Private        | 11.57% | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 80.00%  |

**Table 30.3.3 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0-5,000           | 13.33%      | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 80.00%         |
| 5,001-25,000      | 21.83%      | 0.50%         | 0.00%          | 100.00%        |
| 25,001+           | 2.89%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 20.00%         |

**Table 30.3.4 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 11.00%      | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 80.00%         |
| PhD-granting                     | 40.00%      | 20.00%        | 0.00%          | 100.00%        |
| Research University              | 0.88%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 5.00%          |
| Non-higher education institution | 60.00%      | 60.00%        | 40.00%         | 80.00%         |

**Table 30.3.5 Percentage of journal articles in the digital depository that can be described as Access restricted to an institution or limited group of institutions Broken out by Country**

| <b>Country</b> | <b>Mean</b> | <b>Median</b> | <b>Minimum</b> | <b>Maximum</b> |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| USA            | 21.21%      | 0.50%         | 0.00%          | 100.00%        |
| Other          | 6.67%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%          | 40.00%         |

**Table 31.1 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library:**

|               | <b>It's stand alone and primarily not cataloged</b> | <b>Only works published elsewhere are cataloged</b> | <b>A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged</b> | <b>Most of it is already cataloged</b> |
|---------------|---|---|--|--|
| Entire sample | 42.42%  | 15.15%  | 15.15%   | 27.27%                                 |

**Table 31.2 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's repository is cataloged by your institution's library Broken out by Public/Private**

| <b>Public/Private</b> | <b>It's stand alone and primarily not cataloged</b> | <b>Only works published elsewhere are cataloged</b> | <b>A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged</b> | <b>Most of it is already cataloged</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Public                | 36.36%  | 18.18%  | 13.64%   | 31.82%                                 |
| Private               | 44.44%  | 11.11%  | 22.22%   | 22.22%                                 |

**Table 31.3 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Enrollment**

| <b>Enrollment</b> | <b>It's stand alone and primarily not cataloged</b> | <b>Only works published elsewhere are cataloged</b> | <b>A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged</b> | <b>Most of it is already cataloged</b> |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 0-5,000           | 55.56%  | 11.11%  | 11.11%   | 22.22%                                 |
| 5,001-25,000      | 45.45%  | 27.27%  | 9.09%  | 18.18%                                 |
| 25,001+           | 18.18%  | 9.09%   | 27.27%   | 45.45%                                 |

**Table 31.4 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Type**

| <b>Type</b>                      | <b>It's stand alone and primarily not cataloged</b> | <b>Only works published elsewhere are cataloged</b> | <b>A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged</b> | <b>Most of it is already cataloged</b> |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| BA/MA-granting                   | 50.00%  | 11.11%  | 11.11%   | 27.78%                                 |
| PhD-granting                     | 33.33%  | 0.00%   | 33.33%   | 33.33%                                 |
| Research University              | 20.00%  | 30.00%  | 20.00%   | 30.00%                                 |
| Non-higher education institution | 100.00%   | 0.00%   | 0.00%  | 0.00%                                  |

**Table 31.5 Choose the phrase which best describes how your organization's digital repository is cataloged by your institution's library: Broken out by Country**

| Country | It's stand alone and primarily not cataloged | Only works published elsewhere are cataloged | A good portion of it is in the process of being cataloged | Most of it is already cataloged |
|---------|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| USA     | 42.86%                                       | 14.29%                                       | 23.81%  | 19.05%                          |
| Other   | 41.67%                                       | 16.67%                                       | 0.00%   | 41.67%                          |